
$P R O C E E I N G S$
MADAM CLERK: Board of Commissioners public meeting, Thursday, June 8th, 2017. The time is 7:06. Pursuant to the requirements of the Open Public Meeting Act (Chapter 231, Public Law 1975), notice of this meeting was published in the May 18th, 2017 issues of The Nutley Sun. A copy of this notice has been posted on the Nutley Town Hall bulletin board and a copy is on file in the Municipal Clerk's Office.

Commissioner Rogers?

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Here.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Here.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Here.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Here.

MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Here.

MADAM CLERK: All present, Mayor.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: We've got some things to get through today. So, Commissioner Petracco, what do you have on the agenda?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: (Indiscernible) real
quick. I know I have a couple of ordinances. I have an
ordinance for a handicap space on Hope Street, I believe. And I have a -- I think that's an introduction. No. Yeah, an introduction. And I have a hearing on the prosecutor's -- not the prosecutor, the public defender. Thanks, Tom. I missed you being here. To raise it from 50 to $\$ 200$, which that goes back into the Court.

And I haven't had a chance to look at this. Do I have any resolutions today?

MADAM CLERK: No.
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: I have no resolutions.
That's all I have. Thanks.
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Thank you. Commissioner Evans? COMMISSIONER EVANS: Thank you. Tonight, I only have some resolutions, mostly relating to duplicate tax certificates (indiscernible) national purchasing cooperative. That benefits us because of competitive pricing and it's already been subject to bidding requirements. So we can benefit administratively by being able to process more efficiently.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Thank you, Commissioner. Commissioner Rogers?

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: None (indiscernible).
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Yes, I have three. One is an awarded of contract for a stump cutter, the Stumper. My second
one is a resolution urging our legislators and representatives to ensure that the National Flood Insurance Program is extended beyond September 30th. And my third is a refund of a tree removal permit. That's all I have.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Thank you, Commissioner. And I have a raffle application approvals. Madam Clerk?

MADAM CLERK: Yes, Mayor. We have a series of minutes for approval, regular and executive. We have some communication and there's a correction on the bill list for May 16 th that we need to correct. And that's it.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Counselor?
MR. GENITEMPO: Later we have some -- a couple minor issues on for executive session regarding contractual issues.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Can you give us like a thumbnail on the -- I see that the Historic Preservation ordinance is in our packet.

MR. GENITEMPO: Sure. After the last meeting when you had an opportunity to go before -- have the committee members here to discuss it with you, I spent some time with Mr. Simko (ph) and Sal Corvino (ph) and we basically revamped the entire thing to make it completely consensual. So in other words, there will be no forcing of properties onto the historic preservation list. It would be voluntary. So the one part is putting a home or a property on the list and
then they would work with the homeowner to make sure they understand the criteria. To make sure that they actually qualify to be historic.

So we changed a lot of that and we took away some of the notice requirements that would have been required if someone was being forced into, you know, making their house on the historic list. So we took some of that away because it was not necessary.

We also added some different criteria relative to if they want to modify the home or their property, what has to be done in terms of the review. And so we took a lot of time. We went through it and I provided to you what's the result of that document. I sent it to Mr. Simko and Sal for their -- just to show them that $I$ had made some of their requested changes that they had requested and also as a complete document.

So I think it really complies with what the Commissioners wanted as a whole, and that's to keep the statute and ordinance in place but make it so it's not onerous to any homeowner or property owner that does not want their property put on a historic list.

And again, there are some things we have to iron out, like how do we designate or mark a property once it's already been considered and declared historic by the planning board. Do we do something internally in code
enforcement? Do they voluntarily file a deed with a restriction? So -- but those are things I think are really more for the committee to work out than any of the commissioners. I think as long as you're okay with the ordinance, you know, we could move forward on that if you want at the next meeting or not. They would be happy to come and talk to you about it again. But $I$ think it complies with what you wanted.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: What I would suggest is that we review it, get any changes back or any suggestions back to Mr. Genitempo. If there's nothing major, then we try to get it on the agenda. All right?

MR. GENITEMPO: Yeah. Because right now we're under the old one. The old one is still the one in play so - -

MAYOR SCARPELLI: And also included in your packets is the revised water ordinance. So take a look at that. If you have any questions, comments, or changes, let me know.

With that being said, I'm going to turn the program over to Mr. Diaz and give a presentation and an update on where we are with our On 3.

MR. DIAZ: Thank you, Mayor, council members. How are you? Nice to see you all again. Give me a second and get a projector up here.
(Pause)
MR. DIAZ: So you know, I think -- we appreciate you having me in tonight. I think we did want to give you a little bit more of an in-person update in terms of progress, where we are, where we're going, time frames of certain things. I understand you see activity happening with your building department and the site and the planning board with forthcoming site plan applications from the HUMC hall, a component of the campus.

But I wanted to tell you what we've been doing, where we are, activity that's going on, where our focus has been and I think sort of next steps for us, as well as where we think things are timing-wise with respect to the municipality in terms of actions, and presentations, and things of that nature.

So I think the first thing to alert you of is we've ditched the name Roche. They took it with them, the name. And we spent some time with branding and marketing to come up with a new name for the campus, which is now going to be known simply as On 3. So you can hopefully work On 3, you can invent On 3, create On 3. Maybe one day you'll live On 3. Maybe you'll shop On 3. We'll see how those discussions go in the future with respect to the overall redevelopment plan and the components to be included in that.

But the first step, if you've been driving down, if you're eastbound on Route 3, you'll see the billboard that the property has an On 3 logo up there and a phone number, and sort of just coming soon. So you'll start seeing that take shape. I think part of the element, and the branding, and the image that's coming along with the campus is to begin to educate not just the public, but in particular, our user environment for corporations, and tenants and the like, about what to expect this campus to become.

And I think part of the On 3 story begins to talk about this, right? So we're talking about urban vitality and suburban flexibility meeting, right? A new way of doing business. A new place to do business. Sort of the new millennial of what's happening with corporations and their employees and their desire to kind of house themselves in 24/7 sort of multi-disciplined, multi-use campuses, right, as opposed to sort of the insular far west, big single office building hidden behind gates. Right? The goal of today's CEO is to be more energized, right? To have a lot more energy around them, to have a lot more options around them, a lot more services and amenities.

And, quite frankly, we see the shift happening. We see it happening population-wise. The five western counties of New Jersey have lost population over the last
six years and the five easternmost counties, Bergen, Hudson, Passaic, Essex have gained. So that reversed a trend that went on opposite that for over 25 years in New Jersey. So it's not just a developer's wishful thinking that this is a change of the guard. There really is a fundamental change occurring in our society today with respect to where people want to live, where they want to work, and the things that they want around them in proximity to city centers and more densely populated urban areas where there's good access to highway and public transportation and amenities and services. That's bringing people more this direction.

And it's not just simply people aged 21 to 31 , the millennials, right? It's not just that group. We see it happening in the 55 and over crowd as well. That crowd, in particular, is saying look, I don't want to give up my history and what I've established and my lifestyle, but I want to stick around. But I still want to be more active and I just don't necessarily -- maybe I want to change my type of housing today. All right. We see that happening across the board, across all demographics.

So this is just a little teaser, sort of that we have out here that we're starting to develop and we've been developing to talk about some of the items that make this campus unique. We've been able to establish a really tremendous life science ecosystem on this campus, thanks to
the Hackensack (indiscernible) commitment to the Med School, the Allied Health and Nursing School, and the NIH clinical research center that Hackensack is populating there.

One of the things to tell you is that if there -(Pause)

MR. DIAZ: So this life science ecosystem and what it's about. There's a couple things going on here. I'm going to be accompanying the New Jersey delegation in two weeks to San Diego for Bio International, which is a meeting essentially of over 1,500 bio pharma companies. They get together annually. We have a booth. We're going to be hawking the wares of this campus and what we have to offer.

And it's not as if that's the first time word's got out. I'm going to tell you this off the bat. So we've had a visit from a company domiciled in India, a large pharmaceutical company that's looking to make New Jersey its headquarters operation for its U.S. offices. They've made a recent acquisition and they're considering the campus for consolidation of the headquarters space for their business.

We've reached a company based in U.K. and in Hamburg that as a small office here in New Jersey already and five other offices around the country. And they are looking at consideration of an ecosystem, life science ecosystem to consolidate all of those operations and the On 3 campus is in the running for that.

We don't intend to make this or market this exclusively as life sciences. Some of the infrastructure that's there is very beneficial to those types of groups: the co-generation plan, the redundancy in heating and cooling, and our redundant electric and backup power, that type of infrastructure. But it has a base. And that base is already expanding.

To give you update on activity as we walk through this, we have -- we're in advanced lease negotiations with two tenants, one of which is an expansion by Hackensack, not the Seton Hall component, just the Hackensack Meridian component, to lease the top two floors of building 102 with an additional NIH, National Institute of Health, funded group that they're going to relocate up out of Washington, DC and consolidate with existing offices that they have working in their oncology center, the John Theurer Cancer Center, in Hackensack already. And we have another company that would -- they would hope to occupy by Jan. 1.

We have another company leasing the bottom two and a half floors of the building. We were yesterday in a sequestered room for seven hours negotiating their lease out. They've submitted their application to the EEA. They hope to be heard on July 12th. 15 days after that, the 27 th of July, assuming the Governor doesn't exercise a veto right
for (indiscernible) New Jersey grant, which I don't think has ever been done yet, they anticipate on moving in August 1. We've already submitted a CCO application to Pat Intindola and his group to ready the building for their occupancy. That's a very unique tenant. They're in business known as -- I'm not going to tell you their name at this point in time, but their business is bio fabrication. And you say, what is bio fabrication? Well, essentially, it's using biology and chemistry to make textiles and fabrics. So it's quite an interesting avenue and great to see that kind of technology. They've made a megaproject application. They are 60 people today in their current location in New York. They're going to be relocating all of those out and in three years, they're pledging to the state in their application, they will go to 250 more employees. A significant number of those are Ph.D.'s involved in their process business.

There also is a growth component to that entity, which would have us build them a -- what they call a demo process plant and we're currently planning that out, where that would be on the campus. And right now, they would like to, quite frankly if we can arrange it and if we can work it out through our planning in the master plan documents from a zoning perspective, they'd like to attach it to building 102, sort of as an extension and put it entirely in Nutley
at this point in time. That would be a 30 to 40,000 foot building, which is going to have over $\$ 15$ million capital infusion into it to design it and build the systems in place that they can continue to expand their business.

So this creates a tremendous life science ecosystem. We -- and we have -- the other side of town, your brother township over there in Clifton, we have some other potential life science buildings looking over there, happening on their side on some larger parcels of land where we can amass something like that.

So we think this is all good. We think this is all getting the kind of treatment and excitement. We're generating a buzz and we're seeing those tenants in the marketplace in that particular industry class. It's not the only industry class that has visited the campus.

Ed's and my first thrust on this, besides the initial rebranding of the campus and working with Hackensack Seton Hall to make certain that they get in in a timely fashion and meet their accreditation and occupancy timeframes to start classes fall of next year, our main focus at this point in time has not really been the master plan. All right? Yes, we're working on it and we're making progress and changes. And we would think in the next 60 days or so or 90 days, we might be able to start talking about that in session and introducing both municipalities to
where we think we'd like to go with the campus now that we've had a lot more time to study it, and digest it, and see how it's positioning and understand the strength and weaknesses of what we have there.

But the -- our main focus is to stabilize the asset base of the existing buildings that are essentially all in Nutley, right, to stabilize that ratable base and the value of those assets by filling them back up. And again, creating the density and the buzz in the people, and the excitement of the project by getting these buildings leased. And we are making strong progress.

MR. COHEN: I don't want to cover the areas that you might have covered. Have you talked about some of the activity that we've had of late on building one?

MR. DIAZ: I was just getting there. So again, this is just sort of a piece that our agents and us are using to send to tenants overseas and domestically to give them a little understanding of everything that's here and we're happy to provide this, who we are.

I think we said early on that there's going to be a website and we are finishing that website. Items like this will be posted up there. So the public will have access to things like this and we think that will help begin to -- for the public to start seeing a little bit more activity of what's happening here as well.

Let me just take you back down now and show you some of the issues that we're dealing with with respect to building one. So we are going to be making some substantial changes to building one, which is the white building, which now the address to be 100 Metro Boulevard. So we've also changed First Avenue, the name. It's now Metro Boulevard On 3. The Fifth Avenue, which you know is on the eastern side which parallels and runs up by building 102 on the other side, services the other side of the Hackensack campus, is being changed to Ideation Way. Anyone want to care take a definition by name? Create by ideas. To create by ideas, right?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: We'll be correcting this -COMMISSIONER EVANS: As an accountant, I'm disappointed he's changing the numbers.

MR. DIAZ: All right. Let me see if $I$ can get --so I want to show you this. We are finishing renovations plans for building one with Gensler Architect team on this to make the building much more 21st century, but also multi-tenable, create its own identity or appeal to today's forward thinking corporations.

So this is the current renovation plans that we are in design development document stages. We hope to have construction documents in August to submit to the town for building permit to commence construction in mid-September.

These improvements, which somewhere in the order of $\$ 3$ million to make here.

So the building today doesn't have a front door, which is one of the problems. And I do want to tell you that a couple days ago, Ed and I had a -- we had -- we were fortunate enough to have Congressman Pascrell from the other district visit. But we've had Congressman Frelinghuysen as well, thanks to your assistance in that. And it happened to time out yesterday, we had a visit from a Fortune 500 fashion retailer, who's considering relocating over 500 jobs out of New York, consolidating with some other operations, and they've looked at this entire building.

MR. COHEN: Yeah. They would be an occupant for the entire building.

MR. DIAZ: Approximately 1,100 employees. They very much liked what they saw. We're going to continue our discussions. They liked these changes and these improvement plans that you're seeing here, basically stripping off some of that curtain wall, the precast, adding a lot more glass.

MR. GENITEMPO: (Indiscernible) can you orient that in terms of the --

MR. COHEN: (Indiscernible) the building where you are now at --

MR. DIAZ: If you're standing in between building 76 and that building. So now you're looking at that. So
the courtyard in between building 76 and building 1 will also be renovated. We'll provide a driveway through that courtyard to the parking deck, which will be behind the building, between the building and the train tracks.

So if there are 1,100 people, think about it, we have to provide roughly 1,100 parking spaces in a garage there behind the building.

I think we've had very good discussions with Essex County and their improvement authority. And it's nice to see that they're engaged in this project, interested in this project, supportive of this project, and we've been advancing discussions with them about using the county to help finance through bond -- through their power of ability to issue bonds to build those garages so that we can get them done more efficiently and effectively and timely.

So we think that's very nice to see the county engaged so you know that the other half of the equation here, we've got everyone pulling all oars in the same direction.

This -- you know, I'm just going to see if your Wi-Fi is faster in here and see if this works for a second. This is cool. There you go. Right, so we give you a little bit more of a look at this in an architectural view. All right? So part of this is cutting away a portion of the second floor so that the lobby, the new lobby area is an
open area. It has a basically 31 foot high ceiling height in this. And -- those are my kids. I think they're all mine. Sometimes I lose count.

So this is the first and second floor entrances. You can see the area in here where we're cutting away the floor. So it's this entire area that's back there surrounded by the glass on the second floor. They cut that away.

MR. COHEN: It will be very dramatic. Extremely dramatic.

MR. DIAZ: We're also able, by doing this, to close off the entrance that's currently on 1st Avenue for the building, so that we can recapture the corridor space in the building, making it a lot more efficient and creating much more contiguous space on the ground floor area.

So we think that these changes are being terrifically viewed. This is just in kind of plan view. So the building will have in the lobby area a little grab and go coffee bar area, service and stuff for tenants of the building. And we're hoping that the other amenities that we're able to build on campus will be more of an attraction than having to put additional in-building amenities. Right? So we want people -- a pedestrian friendly environment, walking to other opportunities there or, you know, running down on to -- in town and hitting some of the restaurants,
or Starbucks, or any of the other stuff that's down here as well.

So this is -- these are the changes into building one. I wanted to show you something which I think is very interesting. So this is a floor plan layout that's been done for a generic tenant, to be named, but it shows how efficient this floor is. We get over 232 people on a single floor in the building. As Roche had it laid out, it was only about 160.

MR. COHEN: And by the way, as complex as it looks, that's not really stacking them tight (indiscernible) generous sized cubes, as we call them. They're six by eight. As a matter of fact, a tenant that we took through the building the other day said that's larger than what they currently have.

MR. DIAZ: So, you know, these are -- the focus that we've had, while you're not seeing physical changes on the campus just yet, there's a lot of planning work that has to happen in the background that's been going on. We're making, I think, great progress. More importantly, we're making great progress out there in terms of sourcing and entertaining new potential tenants.

On building 76, we are finalizing plans now, as well. So you're aware, we intend to remove the marble on the east side of the building that goes from the 3rd to the

15th floor and continue the glass curtain wall around the building so that there are unobstructed views to the east of the Manhattan skyline and provide a lot more light into that building as well. We have had discussions with users for that building that have ranged from consumer products companies, electronics companies, and broadcast television network type of entities.

MR. COHEN: That building now is 200 Metro Boulevard.

MR. DIAZ: (Indiscernible) tower. So we think that --Ed and I are quite pleased, I think, where we are today with the action that we've been able to generate already. We're seeing fruits of investment in the campus starting to pay off. And it's allowing us to look at some of the other elements. If we can get this stabilized, we can look at some of the other elements in terms of value creation on the rest of the land of the campus so we can continue to increase the tax ratable base here for Nutley and, of course, in Clifton as well.

A couple of things that are going on with the campus that you should be aware of as well out on the roadways. So we've met with Essex County and, you know, part -- you've seen the site plan application from Hackensack be submitted with respect to the roadway and improvements. We've asked our engineers, really, to rapidly
patch up in terms of designing what would be our proposed roundabouts to be installed to control traffic flow on Kingsland Avenue in much, much easier fashion than the light that you have here now. Right? So you look at where all those roads meet and how they meet if you know that intersection of Bloomfield Avenue and Kingsland and the campus itself. But that light -- there's very odd turns and the like happening.

What we intend to do is sort of to create a roundabout at Metro Boulevard, basically pushing it inside our campus quite a bit. Essex County thought that this was a fabulous solution, as well as New Jersey Transit, and I'll get to what this is about with New Jersey Transit here as well. It's a fabulous solution to traffic movement along Kingsland so that there is no light. This would get rid of the light at that location and allow unencumbered traffic movement around this circle coming from both sides in all directions so that it just continued to flow rather than have people stop and wait at lights (indiscernible).

We think that there's an awful lot of getting people in, both Hackensack Seton Hall students as well as the other corporations that will end up housing themselves here. We intend to install the same down at the area where Old Fifth Avenue is, now Ideation Way, to insert a roundabout. You've already seen in the plans -- in the site
plan submitted by Hackensack and Seton Hall that the old Fifth Avenue, now Ideation Way, is getting straightened out so that it will essentially meet Kingsland right at the bend. That will provide much better visibilities, right, in terms of automotive movement. It's very constrained if you've ever come out that driveway. You can barely see a car coming around that bend at this point in time. And trying to make a left out of there could be a death defying Evil Knievel type of fete. This roundabout will make that seamless.

So we are hoping to get our full engineering done on this one in particular in time, phase it in, make our application to the Township, get the county's blessing of course, and build this as part of the final stage of completion of that roadway with Hackensack and Seton Hall site improvements.

We asked transit to get involved, New Jersey Transit, and again, I think it's good for the public to know and good for you to know that all agencies of the state have been incredibly supportive and helpful. And one of the things we discussed with transit was the elevation of this site in terms of its status with the EDA for (indiscernible) New Jersey grants, as a TOD, transit oriented district, location.

So we were able to -- very highest levels of New

Jersey transit to have their planning group come out and review this site and the bus routes. Essentially, you're stopping outside this campus right now. 199, 191, the 13, I think the 53, and one other.

MR. COHEN: There are five (indiscernible).

MR. DIAZ: After their study, it turns out that from the transit viewpoint, this site is more well-served than some of the other already existing designated TOD bus locations in the state. They wrote a letter on our behalf to the EDA recommending that this site be added to the TOD bus list and be eligible for enhanced road New Jersey incentives as a result of having that TOD access.

The other thing that they were willing to do, and this is why this diagram was done, is to pull those busses off of Kingsland Avenue. There isn't enough width in Kingsland for them to pull over. And I think you see it now when a bus stops, traffic backs up behind it.

New Jersey Transit is willing to now use the roundabout to come into the campus, come down Medicine Lane, drop off on Medicine Lane, and then continue back out the campus using the other roundabout in both directions.

MR. COHEN: So essentially, the busses -

- all of these bus lines would come through the campus, rerouted from Kingsland between the two rotaries.

MR. DIAZ: So when you think about traffic, you think about automotive movement and you think about generating the kind of daily population again here, hopefully over the next three to seven years that could be 8 to 9000 people. We're not content with leaving the access the way it is. We think that there's tremendous opportunity to improve it, and in particular, to avail ourselves and individual's desire to use public transportation. The Ride Trips data from New Jersey Transit for people in this area from these busses was astronomical. It was outstanding. So it's just a tremendous number of people.

And the company that we had in the building -building one earlier this week is a chief consideration for relocation decision for them that they have access to New York City and public transportation since they intend to be move 4 or 500 people out from the city and will still commute back and forth.

MR. COHEN: And they will still have offices remaining in New York at the corporate level, perhaps, and they want to have that ease of access by public transportation.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: So basically, a reverse commute.

MR. DIAZ: Our goal was not to -- when we met with
transit, the question they posed to us was that hey, you know, it would be great. We'd like to put something on --
want something up on Route 3 and people can take the bus to the city, but we don't have any space on those busses and there's no space on Port Authority Terminal. And he said, it's okay. That's really not what we want. We don't want to have a place for people to drop off their car, get on a bus, and go to New York and work. We want a place that makes it easier for people to live in other locations and not have to travel on Route 3, and to take the transportation, to take the bus.

And the great news here is one of those lines does connect to the Newark/Broad Street station, so it does have access for a multi-model capacity. It can drop people off there in Newark at that location. As well as, one of them we know does connect to another line that will serve as Hackensack's campus in Hackensack.

MR. COHEN: (Indiscernible).

MR. DIAZ: So the doctors that come in every day to teach, they'll have an opportunity to say, you know, I'm running down. I've got my hours and my rounds. I'm running down to teach a class, can get on the bus. Come down instead, leave his car in place up at Hackensack, which if you've ever parked up there, it's pretty tough anyways. Teach his class, get back on public transportation and go, or head into the city for another meeting, whatever they're doing. But we think that this access and this inclusion of Veritext Legal Solutions
mass transit and public transportation is an incredibly important component to this campus and should be an important consideration when you review Hackensack and Seton Hall's site plan application when you look at the parking and the student and the body counts that they're talking about, that this be an important part of your overall consideration that mass transit will assist in lowering the number of students and number of people driving their own cars.

So the -- I'll give you the overall site plan. This is the almost 12 acre parcel we call South of Kingsland. It's both physically disconnected from the main part of the campus. It is service-wise, utilities, and infrastructure standpoint does not avail itself of any of the redundancy in connection with the cool water, chill water, steam, and electricity. So it's really a standalone, independent site. And we've begun to look at it as such as a standalone independent site.

I understand -- I don't -- you have some potential -- you have planners here that may be interviewing for the planning job on this? Thank you. Do you know -- we gave it -- we've provided a draft redevelopment plan for the center portion of the campus that did not include this piece 60 days ago or so. Part of that -- providing that to you at that stage, part of it was so that we could more easily
accommodate the early occupancy desire in August for the one tenant that we mentioned earlier in building 102 so that we could begin zoning processes and things under the redevelopment plan in terms of parking for that entity, subdivision plan for the building, and the bulk standards to go along with that.

So I'm glad that you got planners here. We hope you hire one quickly. We've got a lot to talk to them about and work with them on. And we think it's a very important start in terms of what we've been doing to have you involved now with a planner to begin the dialogue of what we do and uses that are best suited here. How do we accommodate those uses from an ingress/egress standpoint, from a density standpoint, from a height standpoint, all the envelopes?

I will tell you on this site, we've had significant amount of interest, which is something interesting to us. We've had a significant amount of interest for a portion of the site. If you know that there's a large topographic change, sort of, happens around this small lot. Right. So kind of that three and a half acres, that's almost four feet below the main portion of the site. We've had a lot of interest from assisted living operators in that particular piece.

All right? So again, the options and the doors for us from the use standpoint and a benefit to the
municipality wide open. We think that might be an interesting use that comes in for a piece of that or a portion of that site. And, you know, that -- we're going to continue conversations there. But again, we can only go so far -- the dialogue with you and the planners about those uses and incorporating those things into a plan.

So right now, we can talk to them. We say, it's a dream. We think the Town might like it. They might not. We don't know where we're going to be with a plan, but we know there's interest from operators out there and quality operators that are national in scope that would like to put a -- that type of facility here. And there seems to be a tremendous need in the community for something like that.

MR. COHEN: (Indiscernible) credibility. They've done many of these sites.

MR. DIAZ: So, you know, I understand that there -- I can't comment on anything else with respect to what we're doing there and desire, but obviously our desire hasn't changed from a standpoint of trying to create a mixed used environment to include, you know, components of some residential housing, some retail shops, and a heavy -- a food and beverage for the amenity base for the tenants there.

And we are making some progress, interesting enough. I know we sat in those early meetings, at least the
subcommittee, the early meetings with the joint repurposing commission, and we heard the comments out of the -- out of your neighbor in Clifton that they didn't want to see certain uses out there. That we all kind of (indiscernible), well, those are exactly the kind of uses you do need here. And it's nice to say that they're coming around, that we've seen some loosening up from

Mayor Anzaldi and some of his council on that side starting to open up to the idea of massing and putting some of those uses on site.

Part of that might be because I think we're doing a pretty good job of potentially bringing him commercial ratable in an election year, which is good. But maybe as well, I think for the idea people are starting to talk about it, read about it, educate themselves about it. Understand where the world of real estate is going in New Jersey from a commercial standpoint, from a mixed use look and a sort of aggregation of uses. The old planning model is gone. It's taking a while for planners to educate their Townships. But the old planning model right now is really being abandoned, right. This idea that the planning model used to be. This use is here. This use is here. That use is here. This use is here. And there's a street grid pattern that respects all of those uses. And the two shall never meet. It's been thrown out the window.

What's now really coming to light is all those things need to be intermingled in a cohesive plan that works with one another, right. Because each one provides stability to the other. Each one provides amenities or opportunities for the other and contributes to the value of the whole. We think ultimately, that unity here is what gets the ratable base up and stabilized again back into the range where we hope to kind of put this campus overall from a value standpoint to bring those taxes back on a long-term basis, right. A long-term basis with multiple users so that we don't look at that exposure from a single source entity ever again.

I know it hurt. I know it's hurt this Township greatly. I think that we're well on our way to providing multiple occupants on this campus of high credibility with lots of jobs and great opportunity for people that are in Nutley, in Essex County and around, to live, work, and play on this campus.

I'm happy to answer any questions if you've got them in terms of the progress. Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: I have a question. It's probably more of a procedural protocol type question. You talked about jobs and one of the things that $I$ know is on the mind of myself and the colleagues is prioritizing with regard to employment. Our residents, who have lost their
jobs as a result, not only of the economy but Roche leaving. Roche employed thousands over the years of our employees. Could we begin that discussion when the people who are -when the tenants actually sign on board? Do we begin it now? Because not everyone is going to be able to relocate (indiscernible). There's going to be jobs open, so how do we proceed with that?

MR. DIAZ: I think sort of two phases on that. And one, you should be happy to know that we've hired two Nutley residents from Roche to assist us on the campus.

MR. COHEN: And they're on site now. MR. DIAZ: Right, and they're on site working for us. So we've hired them and they're turning out to be just invaluable assets.

You know, I am going to tell you, I knew very little about Nutley when we got involved in this project. I grew up in Bergen County. I still reside in Bergen County. Our projects, while in Essex County, we've done quite a lot, quite frankly, and Congressmen Frelinghuysen's district alone, PRISM has been responsible for over $\$ 350$ million worth of real estate investments. I think he was surprised to hear that when you brought him to the campus as well.

But the -- but we knew very little about it. And as we've learned a lot more about it, you've got an incredibly robust history of producing an incredible number
of business leaders in and around the community. It's actually shocking. For the size town, 37,000 or so, is that where they're thinking it is right now, 20 --

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: But my question is, we have also skilled workers and we have other individuals who are out of work. And a campus this size is going to need a cross-section of people with different skills. How do we begin that process? Is it an application process? Do you help us with that? How do we get them on board?

MR. DIAZ: And that's where $I$ was going with this. One of the things that we're now starting to sell is Nutley. Right, so when we met the other day with this fashion company, who you'd love their name in your town. It's just a fabulous enterprise. We started talking to them about Nutley. Right, we started talking to them about your downtown. We started talking to them about your housing. And what Nutley has to offer. And I think -- so I think it starts with us in terms of beginning the conversation with these tenants to say, look, you're going to be here. Ultimately, what happens, if you make a long-term commitment, you're going to be hiring, right? You're going to look immediately to people in your area. You're going to take advantage of that.

The other way we do that is within the redevelopment plan itself. While we can't force a tenant,
right, to hire somebody, but we can certainly within the redevelopment plan create a structure that allows us to look for sort of first source employment for certain levels of jobs and certain things. We've done that in other plans and that's --

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: That's great. That's good news.

MR. DIAZ: I think it's a great thing and we highly support that.

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Good. Thank you. That's the answer that $I$ was looking for. Thank you.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Commissioners?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Gene, can you talk a little bit about what's going to happen on the Clifton side? This is very encouraging about -- the work you've done and what type of people that you're bringing in and enterprises. But what's going to happen on the Clifton side and how do you see that impacting us?

MR. COHEN: Well, we could tell you what we would like to see happen, as you can understand. And in speaking with Gene and myself, we are (indiscernible) our tenth reiteration of the plan for that site. And a lot of it is going to be determined by end users, okay. Where at the current point in time, our two specific users in advanced negotiations. Whether they happen or not, we're
not sure. But one would result in a roughly one quarter million square foot (indiscernible) on the northwestern portion of the site, on the other side of the tracks (indiscernible).

MR. DIAZ: Where Isabella Street enters the property.

MR. COHEN: The other one would be for a build to suit for an approximate 70,000 square foot user, probably fronting closer to Route 3. All right? So taking those two potential advanced stage users into account, not that we're designing around them, but we're designing by integrating those into this thematically dispersion of master planning.

And by the way, our master plans are always changing, because we want to get it right. We really want to get it right. And the biggest thing to get right is for people not to feel they're in Clifton or Nutley. This is a campus, okay, $24 / 7$ live, work, play environment where all things are integrated where the people from Nutley can utilize the facilities in Clifton and the people in Clifton can utilize the facilities in Nutley. We're getting pretty darn close, I would say. That's what's been going on for the past six months.

MR. DIAZ: Commissioner Tucci, I don't think that that -- you know, from the original joint (indiscernible)
commission study that Perkins did to the study that we showed from Gensler, we still see the campus in sort of a . 75 FAR range, which again would produce roughly 3 and a half million, 3.8 million (indiscernible). There's 1.2 million existing now.

Roche, at the height, we went through their records and calculated. I think they had 4.7 million at its peak in --

MR. COHEN: In total square footage.
MR. DIAZ: Total square footage at one time.
MR. COHEN: Without one parking garage.
MR. DIAZ: Right, without a single parking garage. But I think that those mixes of uses -- what Ed is referring to is that while we are in the midst of working on the plan and for both sides, we had an opportunity to present to us -- to look at two specific uses: one a clinical laboratory and the other a multi-specialty medical building to house some medical offices. And we're in fairly advanced discussions with them that put them on that side.

The balance of the site again, we -- I will tell you, yes, we are having significant discussions with a hotel. So -- to look to bring a limited service hotel brand to the campus. And not on the highway. As we've been through our master plan, the thing that we're seeing to do is we're trying to pull things off, bring them more into the
roadway network that we're developing. So that again, as Ed points out, so that we don't want to see this sort of imaginary line in there between this is Clifton, this is Nutley. All right? We want to see people look at this campus as a campus. We refer to it as On 3 and see the benefits of coming in from both ways.

You know, we talk about ingress and egress. We do -- we've made our application to the state DOT to reopen the Route 3 entrances. I think in three weeks we should have approval there. It's going to be open limited for the moment since we're still doing lots of construction on site. But the -- you know, part of this idea when you think about the campus, Route 3 is only good if you're coming from west to east.

MR. COHEN: Yeah, if you're coming the other way, where do you go?

MR. DIAZ: You know, there was a reason Nutley's address -- Roche's address was 340 Kingsland Street, Nutley and not Clifton, right? The front door of the campus was here. So if you were coming from the east, or the north and accessing that direction or the south, you're going to enter in off of Kingsland campus.

MR. COHEN: And it really was never a Route 3 at that point in time.

MR. DIAZ: So that's -- you know, I don't want to
lose sight of that. I think everyone jumps out and think it's this campus On 3. You know, yes, it is, it's on 3. But the other thing about it, the main front door is going to be for a lot of these tenants, and the med school students, and the like is going to be coming off of Kingsland, which is why we're looking at spending such a tremendous amount of time, and money, and effort in how those roadways work and the entrances function to move people in and out of --

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Do you see the possibility between or adjacent to those two pillar buildings, I'll call them, of integrating some residential there? I know Mayor Anzaldi (ph) was very emphatic about no residential, no big box stores, no commercial. I don't want anything. Exactly. That was about it.

MR. DIAZ: So, you know, our last meeting with Clifton indicated that there was a loosening, if there's a residential luxury apartment living that were more high rise or mid-rise.

MR. COHEN: We were very encouraged by our last meeting with Clifton, very encouraged.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: So that's now become a possibility?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.
MR. COHEN: Well, you know, the reality
is setting in more. You know, the buzz is around and people are talking in reference to before and they want to see something that works 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. They realize, you know, we still have to do a lot more in the way of having conversations on an individual and community basis to telling them how good it is for the community and how good it is to attract people to fill office buildings and, you know, medical (indiscernible) facilities. So they're getting it, slowly but surely, it's there.

But we walked out of that meeting in Clifton, you know, feeling better than any other meeting that we've had. MR. DIAZ: And let me add that I think that the -you play an important role and the planning role, getting your planner on board, Clifton having their planner now on board. We can't really begin to have those conversations with the public. And the public can't begin to be educated about why something should be one way versus another or why some uses won't work in concert with (indiscernible) or such density until we can get through the process and begin the process with a civic planner so that we can begin to frame the arguments, and understand the positioning of the municipality as well. And that, itself, that document and that conversation is your planner, and yourselves will, again, begin to roll out and start getting more public comment, begin to educate the public again in terms of
what's happening and why it should happen, and giving feedback from the public so that we can see.

We've been in meetings, quite frankly, where someone has stood up and said hey, what about this, blah blah blah. And we said, wow, we didn't think of that. You're right. That's something that we should consider and something we should put in.

So I think we maintain -- I think -- hopefully you've found us to be extremely open when you first met us. We are still that way right now. We continue to be extremely opened to consider lots of different things. But, you know, there's a lot here. It's a big piece of land. There are certain constraints around it already because of the existing buildings and where Hackensack is situated out here. But we remain open to integrating things that matter and can help the Township and help people in the Township. MR. COHEN: You know, some of our biggest issues right now are when we do escort, you know, the public companies and all types of users to the property and say well, what's going to be here and what's going to be there (indiscernible). And all we can talk about at this point in time is how (indiscernible) very loosely (indiscernible). We cannot talk specifics at all until we have, even with the coordinated efforts with the tenants. MR. DIAZ: Commissioner Evans, I think you
had something.
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Yeah, I just had a couple of things. And excuse me (indiscernible). Sometimes my voice doesn't carry so let me just -- a couple things.

One, I'm pleased because I was asked the question that you actually already shared that you remain open to working with us and our new planner, once we designate those -- that person. And the reason for that is that this -- and I think I speak for my Board members is that we remain very sensitive to the importance of (indiscernible). While it is -- you're thinking of it as a single campus, not split between two municipalities, there are some from a municipal standpoint.

MR. COHEN: Of course.
COMMISSIONER EVANS: There are some significant ramifications of that. And part of it, obviously, is the -for me, the revenue and that's generated for the municipality and the manner of revenue we have to make up, which we all deal with every day.

But it's also the integration of the plan on how we integrate something that fits with one's community and two, actually can help foster a more robust business district on Franklin Avenue. And that we're not doing anything ultimately that would be detrimental to that.

So we haven't had a chance to talk about it in a
while. I just wanted to echo that remains very, very --
MR. COHEN: No, we have not lost sight of that all. We have not lost sight of it, nor will we. MR. DIAZ: Right. And I think that with your -with a planner on board, that a planner begins to look at your overall Town and how those connections and interactions work, right. I mean, we think, quite frankly, it would be great to be able to create some kind of walking path connection through the back way. It would be great to see that kind of back and forth, right?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: The nature of the connectedness is very important. And also we've been fortunate enough that because of the temporary aid that we received, we've been able to be a little bit more patient about how this -- what's the timeline for redevelopment and the conversion of this. The state is getting a little bit more anxious about, you know, so what is it going to be and when, because of the nature of what the state budget looks like.

So, you know, today we benefit from 5 and a half million dollars' worth of aid which I believe we're well aware of. We're fortunate in that it covers not only the municipality but the school and the county. So the taxpayers have been benefiting from the fact that substantial portion of the tax revenue loss has been 100
percent funded by that aid structure. And so just the idea of the fact is that if we were to lose that and we're under increasing pressure to get more clarity around how this is going to convert and what is that number ultimately going to be. I just want to stress that I'm looking forward to the ideation piece of this divisioning (indiscernible).

It's an interesting concept, right? Ideation around taxes. I like that. The idea of participating in those sessions to do that because $I$ think not only is it important for -- obviously for you guys to land on what it is, it's also important for us to understand and for the benefit of the residents what this will become. And ultimately our goal and our effort here, overall, is to avoid any significant negative consequence on the conversion.

MR. DIAZ: Well, Tom, I think that one of the things that we can move again to discuss with your redevelopment counsel and your plan is so right is -- we have to do a series of some subdivisions now on the property. I think we've pointed out the lots are kind of all --

MR. COHEN: Messed up.
MR. DIAZ: Yeah, messed up is a way to put it. So -- but within that, right, so we need to begin to look at the individual ratables on each of these buildings, whether
or not they're beneficial pilots to the Town actually -- I mean, we all know the Town does better revenue (indiscernible) at the end of the day. I think everyone takes as a misnomer. They think it's some kind of tax holiday or break for some property owner when it's not. I mean, the benefit of this is structuring this is it puts more revenue directly in the municipal's budget. And of course, it takes away from the County, but counties are used to it. That's the way it happens.

So I think that --
COMMISSIONER EVANS: You can't miss the school. MR. DIAZ: Right. Well, that's a discussion that you have with your school board. And I know there's a lot of talking and discussion back and forth. But that doesn't have to take them out, right? It's just a question of allocation once you get all of those extra funds.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: First round with the pilot, it didn't take them out with the medical, phase one piece. So, you know, obviously -- again, obviously there's significant conversations which I think will take a long time to work your way through, but I just want -- my point was that I'm looking forward to where you said is that in about 60 days you'll have an idea of what this thing might map out to be. That's very important to us to better understand because to avoid the idea of piecemeal
decisions without context around what (indiscernible) and avoiding something that, gee, if we had known or if we understood. And that's where we -- as I said, do you -your comment about still being open and working with the Town, I was happy to hear that because I think it's going to be important.

MR. DIAZ: Thank you.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Will the -- I was under the impression it was because --

ALAN GENITEMPO: No, it's not. This is just the conference.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Gene, I do have a question tonight. I mean, I think that response is fantastic. The only concerns I have is that, you know, obviously what kind of ratables it's going to be at the end of the day and, you know, the residential components here because you know when Mauro and I were at those meetings all the time, we were figuring that it was going to be -- especially with Mayor Anzaldi, what they were saying about absolutely no residential and no stores. I don't know if you were at the one that he said, "Read my lips, no residential." You know, to me, that takes away a little bit from our Town because I was under the impression that we were going to be bringing people to that campus and that would be the mechanism that increased our house values here.

Now, if there's a lot of apartments or luxury apartments, it's giving them another option. You know, we have apartments in our Town that are going like hot cakes. And how do we keep that -- how do we strike that balance is my question to you.

The other part $B$ of the question is the stores. I'm hearing a lot of mixed uses, even on Cathedral Avenue now. Is that going to be -- you said mixed use over there? Where? (Indiscernible).

MR. DIAZ: No, no. Not mixed use area. I think that we -- what I mentioned on the 12 acre parcel on the south really, was that where --

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Yes.

MR. DIAZ: We're opened to lots of different options there. And as of late, I think I said that one use that we've been approached to by several parties is a portion of it for assisted living.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Okay. So that's not going to be stores anymore. Assisted living.

MR. DIAZ: I have to tread lightly on the discussion of residential simply because I know there's pending litigation involved in affordable housing in the municipality. So I'm going to defer to say that $I$ can't comment much on that other than to say that $I$ think that the planning process will help bring together the balance and we
have to -- consideration has to be given to -- one of the things we've looked at is that is it shocking to us right off the bat. Right, so you need to think about it. We closed in October of '16. It was not long ago, right?

And we're essentially building 102, is essentially 100 percent rented, which we thought initially would be the most difficult (indiscernible). A specialized R\&D building.

MR. COHEN: Not built for multi-tenant occupancy. Not the prettiest building from the exterior.

MR. DIAZ: And I'm going to tell you, the interesting part about it is we kicked tenants away.

MR. COHEN: We could have -- if that building were 300,000 square feet, we could have leased it all.

MR. DIAZ: We had -- yeah, we had about at least another 100,000 feet of tenants we couldn't accommodate in that building that approached us for research and development space.

MR. COHEN: We were shocked. We were absolutely shocked.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: That opens the question, Gene, how about some research and development building on the Nutley side.

MR. COHEN: That's one of the things we're strongly looking into.

MR. DIAZ: So two things on that with it. So if you recall, the -- west of the train tracks down off Kingsland and you know that the portion of that site is in a flood zone, right. That's where the brook daylights.

MR. COHEN: Yeah, one of the parking lots that --
MR. DIAZ: And then goes under Kingsland. So again, to show the detail, and depth, and amount of work that's been going on behind the scenes. So we've been studying that with our engineers as well. And we're going to approach the Township to assist us in approaching the DEP to allow us to culvert the brook at that point on our property and fix the problem that's causing the flooding across Kingsland at that location, which is only an 18 inch pipe that goes under the road. It needs to be 33 or bigger.

So I know that there was some infrastructure grant money that was allocated to the municipality that $I$ don't believe you've spent yet, but we think that might be a great project to spend it on because we think it solves some municipal issues.

MR. GENITEMPO: We didn't get it yet.
MR. DIAZ: Well, we're going to get it. Let's go work on and get it. But if we can do that, Mayor, then that frees up the density of development and the envelope that we can west of the train tracks. And yes, that's exactly one
of the locations where we started thinking about would be very ripe for some sort of smaller, modular, two-story R\&D buildings.

MR. COHEN: On that side.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: But when you culvert that brook, talk to Commissioner Tucci, because it's going to affect his barn.
(Pause)
MR. DIAZ: But again, you know, we're happy to keep the lines of communication open and moving with you and we always appreciate the time that you give us. We're enjoying working with your professionals and all of you. Again, I've said it before and I reflect on it every day, we're fortunate to have a great project in a Town that is also equally great because you're doing wonderful things for your Township and it's nice to work with people that are also open-minded.

MR. COHEN: We're happy to be a part of it. We really are.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Gene, just a quick thing and we're done, but you mentioned a lot of things at the state level regarding grant TBA. We -- a while ago, we became aware of the fact that the federal level, they're looking for opportunities, especially projects that are like in the 3,4 million range that are project ready to actually grant
funds to do this. So when we -- we mentioned to them one time about the roundabouts and they loved the idea of that project. It was sort of their size. So it's something, I think, that can be further investigated.

MR. DIAZ: Well, the sooner you get a planner on board and we can sort of sit down and having those discussions, then we'd like to jump on that.

Listen, any free money someone wants to give us -MAYOR SCARPELLI: Gene and Ed, I want to thank you for coming in, updating us. I want to congratulate you on being recognized by (indiscernible) for the complexity of putting this deal together. So that was nice to see.

MR. DIAZ: It was. It was nice that everyone -I'm glad that you were there, Mayor. It was nice to have your support down there and great to see -- not many mayors do that when a project is in their Town and it gets nominated. So I think it was great that you were there and we're glad that everybody who contributed to it --

MAYOR SCARPELLI: I was invited by the -- to be a guest of the head of that. I'm trying to think of his name. Mike McGinnis, who grew up in Nutley.

MR. DIAZ: Did he really?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: He grew up in Nutley. And that was one of the reasons he invited me.

MR. DIAZ: He did. So thanks so much.
(Pause)
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Let me just quick update everybody on how we're going to proceed tonight since we've got a couple of different things going on. We're going to go out and we're going to do the business portion of our meeting. We're going to come back here and interview the planners. We'll probably go back, if we come to a consensus with the public and put a resolution to retain them. That's the word I was looking for, retain them. And then we'll, if we need to, I think we'll come back into executive. Mr. Genitempo?

MR. GENITEMPO: Pardon me?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Executive?

MR. GENITEMPO: Yes. We'll have a short executive after we have the --

MAYOR SCARPELLI: And then we'll adjourn the meeting. All right, just to make everybody aware of where we're going. All right. So let's go outside and start the meeting.
(End of audio)
(Pledge of allegiance)
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Madam Clerk?

MADAM CLERK: Yes, Mayor. Board of Commissioners
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Commissioner Rogers?

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Here.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Here.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Here.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Here.

MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Here.

MADAM CLERK: All present, Mayor.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Minutes, Madam Clerk?

MADAM CLERK: Minutes for April 4th, 2017; April

18th, 2017; and May 2nd, 2017. I need a motion.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Move it.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Second.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Executive session minutes for March

7th; March 13th; April 4th; April 18th; and May 2nd, 2017.
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Move it.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Second.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye, on all but May 2nd, I abstain.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Yes, except for April 4th.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: And that's it for minutes, Mayor.
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Communications?

MADAM CLERK: Yes, Mayor.

VFW Post 493 has submitted a letter requesting
permission to hold a daily instant raffle, including Sunday, June 28th, 2017 through June 28th, 2018.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Need a motion.
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Move it.
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Second.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: The Greenutley Committee has submitted an event application to hold the Farmer's Market for 2017 season on Sundays from June 18th, 2017 to October 29th, 2017 in the municipal lot \#1 from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. Need a motion.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Move it.
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Second.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: And that's it for communications.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Bills?

MADAM CLERK: We have a correction to the bill
list from Tuesday, May 16th. For line item 17-01577, it was CEDE \& Company, it was read as $\$ 38,625$. The correct amount is $\$ 19,875$. I need a motion.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Move it.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Second.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Bill list for Thursday, June 8th. Public affairs, $\$ 100,949.55 ;$ Revenue \& finance, $\$ 2,429,835.95 ;$ Public safety, $\$ 96,229.79 ;$ Public works, \$134,039.82; Parks and public property, \$763,080.53. Payroll for May 19th, 2017 is $\$ 792,130.78$. Payroll for June 8th, \$1,082.43. Total payroll, \$800,171.79. For a grand total of $\$ 5,117,520.64 . \quad$ I need a motion.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Move the bills. COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Second. MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers? COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: And that's it for bills, Mayor.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Public comment on agenda items only. Madam Clerk?

MADAM CLERK: All persons addressing the Board of Commissioners regarding community concerns should approach the microphone and provide their name and address for the
record. Unless further time is granted by the Board, each person shall limit their address to three minutes. All remarks to the Board and its individual members must be addressed to the Mayor. The Mayor may defer citizens' comments to the appropriate member of the Board. Dialogue between citizens and others addressing the Board shall be allowed unless the Mayor or presiding officer, or the majority of the membership of the Board, shall determine that the interest of decorum and/or the expeditious conduct of municipal business are being adversely affected by such dialogue.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Would anybody like to address the Board on agenda items only? Seeing none, can $I$ have a motion to close public comment?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Move it.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Second.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

## MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.

Board of Commissioner announcements.

Commissioners? Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: I have one, Mayor, yes. Tomorrow evening in the oval we will hold our movie under the stars. We have a tentative start time of 7:30, but obviously it needs to be dark enough because this is an outdoor movie and the movie will be Moana. Thank you, Mayor.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Anybody else?
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Mayor?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Yes.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Just -- it's not an announcement, it's just a comment. I wanted to thank the Board for their flexibility over the last several months while $I$ was laid up. I'm very happy to be back. I just wanted to acknowledge that many people have inquired about my health. I'm feeling great. I had back surgery and I had to recover from that. So $I$ just wanted to offer one, thank you for all of the well wishes; and I want to thank you all for your patience and your flexibility over the last few months.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Glad to have you back, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Our pleasure.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Ordinance introductions. Commissioner Petracco.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Yes. This ordinance introduction is for a handicap space on Hope Street and an ordinance to amend an ordinance codified in the Code of the Township of Nutley, Chapter 228, entitled vehicles and traffic, particularly Article VII, special zones and areas, Section 29A entitled handicapped parking to add the location set forth therein.

I move that this ordinance be passed to a second reading and advertised in the Nutley Sun, together with the notice required by law and further consideration of said ordinance for final passage by the Board of Commissioners to be held on its date of, I believe it's July --

MADAM CLERK: 5th.
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: -- 5th, 2017. I move the ordinance.

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Second.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.

Public hearings?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Yes. Tonight I have a public ordinance -- public hearing, excuse me, on ordinance \#3358, an ordinance to amend an ordinance codified in the Code of the Township of Nutley, Chapter 34, entitled Court Municipal, particularly Article II, the Public Defender.

Be it ordained by the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley that pursuant to the authority granted to this municipality by N.J.S.A. 39:4-197(1)(f), Article II, Section 9 of Chapter 34 of the Code of the Township of Nutley, entitled "Public Defender", is hereby amended to changing the application fee from up to $\$ 50$ to up to \$200.

I make the motion to open up the public hearing.
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Second.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?
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| 1 |  | COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye. |
| 2 |  | MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli? |
| 3 |  | MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye. |
| 4 |  | Would anybody like to be heard on ordinance \#3359? |
| 5 |  | COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Seeing no one? |
| 6 |  | MAYOR SCARPELLI: 58, I'm sorry. I read the wrong |
| 7 | number. | Seeing none. |
| 8 |  | COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: I move the ordinance. |
| 9 |  | MADAM CLERK: Okay, we're going to -- now, we have |
| 10 | to close | the public hearing. |
| 11 |  | COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: And then vote on it as |
| 12 | well? |  |
| 13 |  | MADAM CLERK: Yes. |
| 14 |  | COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Okay. I make a motion to |
| 15 | close it. |  |
| 16 |  | COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Second. |
| 17 |  | MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers? |
| 18 |  | COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye. |
| 19 |  | MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci? |
| 20 |  | COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye. |
| 21 |  | MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans? |
| 22 |  | COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye. |
| 23 |  | MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco? |
| 24 |  | COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye. |
| 25 |  | MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli? |

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: I move the ordinance, thank you.

MADAM CLERK: I need a second.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Second.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: That's all I have.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Resolutions. Commissioner

Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Yes, thank you, Mayor.
Whereas, the Township of Nutley has the need to purchase goods on a timely basis, the Department of Parks and Public Property is utilizing the National Cooperative Purchasing Program; and

Whereas, Vermeer North Atlantic, 7 Maple Avenue, Lumberton, New Jersey is part of the National Cooperative

Purchasing Agreement; and
Whereas, Vermeer North Atlantic has the Stump Cutter model SC802 and related accessories in the amount of $\$ 50,470$; and

Whereas, funds are available from Capital Ordinance \#3323F, and have been certified by the Chief Financial Officer, said certification being attached to this resolution.

Now, therefore, be it resolved, by the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of New Jersey, that a contract for "Stump Cutter model SC802 and related accessories" be awarded to Vermeer North Atlantic, 7 Maple Avenue, Lumberton, New Jersey.

I move the resolution.
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Second.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Whereas, floods are the most common and most destructive natural disasters in the United States; and

Whereas, the National Flood Insurance Act authorizes a National Flood Insurance Program; and

Whereas, the NFIP is a Federal program created by Congress to mitigate future flood losses nationwide and to provide access to affordable, federally backed flood insurance protection for property owners; and

Whereas, the NFIP is designed to provide an insurance alternative to disaster assistance to meet the escalating costs of repairing damage to buildings and their contents caused by floods; and

Whereas, New Jersey is fourth in the nation in the number of NFIP policies enforced and third in total value of claims paid; and

Whereas, 552 communities in New Jersey participate as members of the NFIP which amounts to over 230,000 policies enforced throughout the state of New Jersey; and

Whereas, the NFIP maintains a significant role in providing financial protection against flood events to New Jersey residents; and

Whereas, the NFIP is set to expire on September 30th, 2017; and

Whereas, the expiration of this program could
cause catastrophic loss of insurance coverage against flooding which is the number one cause of loss of property throughout the United States; and

Whereas, in the event the program were to expire, the consequences in the form of disruption of coverage and the potential financial burdens to residents throughout the State of New Jersey will be of great economic significance; and

Whereas, the purpose of this resolution is to urge the Township of Nutley Federal Representatives to support the extension of the NFIP which promotes the public health, safety and general welfare and minimizes public and private losses due to flooding events in the State of New Jersey; and

Now, therefore, be it resolved, by the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of New Jersey, we hereby urge our Federal Legislators and Representatives to take any and all necessary action to insure that the National Flood Insurance Program is extended beyond the September 30th, 2017 expiration date.

I move the resolution.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Second.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Excuse me.

Whereas, The Township of Nutley Ordinance\# 665-22, Preservation of Trees states that Tree Removal Permits must be obtained for a cost of $\$ 50$ per tree; and

Whereas, The Township of Nutley, Department of Parks and Public Property authorizes a refund for each tree permit submitted upon the planting of a new tree on the said property; replacement tree size and species must be approved in advance by the Township Forester; and

Whereas, funds will be available from account C-01-904-905; and

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of New Jersey that the Township Treasurer is authorized to refund the Tree Permit Fee collected as per the Shade Tree Preservation Ordinance to William Weingartner, 20 Daily Street for two trees at $\$ 50$ per tree, equaling \$100.

I move the resolution.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Second.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: That's all I have, Mayor.
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Thank you, Mayor.

Whereas, the Tax Collector of this municipality has previously issued tax sale certificates to U.S. Bank custodian for TLCF 2012A LLC, for the following blocks and tax lots;

Block 5602, lot 10; 3100, lot 10; Block 9502, lot 16.

Whereas, the purchaser of the aforesaid tax sale certificates has indicated to the Tax Collector that they have lost or otherwise misplaced the original tax sale certificates and have filed the appropriate Affidavit of

Loss with the Tax Collector; and
Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Tax Collector of the municipality be and is hereby authorized, upon receipt of the appropriately executed and notarized Loss Affidavit and the payment of a fee of $\$ 100$ per certificate, to issue the appropriate duplicate tax sale certificates to the said purchaser covering the certificates lost as previously described in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 99 of Public Laws of 1997.

Be it further resolved, that a copy of this resolution and the Loss Affidavit be attached to the duplicate certificate to be issued to said purchaser and that said duplicate certificates shall be stamped or otherwise have imprinted upon it the word "Duplicate".

I moved the resolution.
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Second.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Be it resolved, by the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, in the County of Essex, State of New Jersey, that the Treasurer be and she is hereby authorized to refund overpayments of the tax charges in the amount of $\$ 9,477.98$ for the list of tax lot and blocks included in this resolution.

I move the resolution.
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Second.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Whereas, the Building
Department has received a payment for Affordable Housing Development Fees under COAH in the amount of $\$ 9,875$ from Masouleh Corp., 301 River Road in Clifton for property located at 159 Kingsland Street; and

Whereas, the fee of $\$ 9,875$ was collected and
deposited in a trust account \#T-26-909-902; and Whereas, the Tax Assessor has reviewed and determined that the balance of $\$ 1,185$ is due to Masouleh Corp.

Now, therefore, be it resolved, by the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of New Jersey that the Township Treasurer be and she is hereby authorized to refund the COAH fee in the amount of \$1,185 to said corporation. So moved.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Second.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Be it resolved, by the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, in the County of Essex, State of New Jersey, that the Treasurer be and she is hereby authorized to refund overpayment of water charges in
the amount of $\$ 1,129.39$ for Block 9106, Lot 15.
So moved.
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Second.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Whereas, the Township of
Nutley, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:11-11 may by resolution and with advertising, purchase any goods or services under an approved National Cooperative Purchasing Program; and

Whereas, the Township of Nutley has the need on a timely basis to purchase goods or services utilizing the following National Cooperative Purchasing Programs; and Keystone Purchasing Network; and

Whereas, the Township of Nutley intends to enter into contracts with Keystone Purchasing Network Contract Vendors through this resolution and properly executed contracts, which shall be subject to all the conditions
applicable to the current contracts.
Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Township of Nutley authorizes the Purchasing Agent to purchase certain goods or services from those approved Keystone Purchasing Network vendors, pursuant to all conditions of the individual contracts, for the year 2017, commencing June 1, 2017 through December 31st, 2017.

So moved.
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Second.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Whereas, Claudine Malizia, a Nutley resident, owns a dog and has paid the appropriate fee to obtain a 2017-2018 dog license tag from the Office of the Municipal Clerk;

Whereas, a duplicate payment was made in error for an additional license tag for said dog;

Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, in the County of Essex, State of New Jersey that the Township Treasurer be and she is hereby authorized to issue a refund in the amount of $\$ 28$ to Claudine Malizia at 66 Race Street, Nutley.

So moved.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Second.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Thank you, Mayor.
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Whereas, raffle applications
have been received from the following organizations;
VFW Post \#493, License No. 29-17, Daily pull tab instant raffles to be held daily from June 28th, 2017 through June 28th, 2018;

St. Mary's Church, License No. 30-17, Off-Premise 50/50 Cash Raffle to be held on Saturday, October 7th, 2017;

Whereas, the applications have been received and approved by the Municipal Clerk and the Police Department; Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of New Jersey that the aforementioned licenses are approved and the Municipal Clerk is authorized to issue the raffle licenses.

I move the resolution.
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: second.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.

That will almost conclude the business portion of our meeting. We're going to open it up to the public. Madam Clerk?

MADAM CLERK: Yes, Mayor.
All persons addressing the Board of Commissioners regarding community concerns should approach the microphone
and provide their name and address for the record. Unless further time is granted by the Board, each person shall limit their address to five minutes. All remarks to the Board and its individual members must be addressed to the Mayor. The Mayor may defer citizens' comments to the appropriate member of the board. Dialogue between citizens and others addressing the Board shall be allowed unless the Mayor or presiding officer, or the majority of the membership of the Board, shall determine that the interest of decorum and/or the expeditious conduct of municipal business are being adversely affected by such dialogue.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Would anybody like to address the Board of Commissioners this evening?

MR. MOORE: Rory Moore, 462 Chestnut Street. Mr. Scarpelli, last meeting, I had asked about why handicapped individuals or senior citizens couldn't call in in a similar fashion that Mr. Evans did. And you said Mr. Genitempo is going to look at that. Has there been any progress on this issue?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Mr. Genitempo, have you had a chance to look into that?

MR. GENITEMPO: I have had a chance, Mr. Mayor, but there's no precedent for it that I could find anywhere so far. And we're still looking at it actually, but I haven't found any precedent, excuse me, for allowing that
since we do have a handicapped accessible building. I've never seen it come up in any case law or research. But we're still looking at it.

MR. MOORE: Will there be anything other than you looking at it? I'm not trying to be insulting. It seemed to be more of a technical issue?

MR. GENITEMPO: What do you want me to say, Mr. Moore?

MR. MOORE: I would really -- it's out there. It's a web-based item and a lot of people do use it. It's very simple.

MR. GENITEMPO: I didn't say that it was not feasible or logistically possible. All I said was my job is to look at is there a legal precedent for it? Is there anything in the statute books or the resolutions or ordinances that would provide for it and I haven't found anything. It doesn't mean that it's not something that can't be entertained. I'm only looking at it from the legal perspective.

MR. MOORE: But other than a legal perspective, would the Commissioners consider it to be an option --

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Let's find out if it's legal first, all right.

MR. MOORE: Okay. I'll find out for you.
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Would anybody else like to
address the Board of Commissioners this evening?
MR. HENNING: Neil Henning, 31 Terrace
Avenue. Does the Town of Nutley do state inspections for multiple dwellings?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Mr. Genitempo?

MR. GENITEMPO: It's my understanding that the DCA performs those inspections on a regular basis for our multiple dwellings.

MR. HENNING: And the DCA - -

MR. GENITEMPO: I think it's every five years is the requirement.

MR. HENNING: And who does the DCA have do that?

MR. GENITEMPO: I think they come to Town and work in conjunction with our own inspectors.

MR. HENNING: So our inspectors do not do the state inspection?

MR. GENITEMPO: I think they do them in conjunction with the Department of Community Affairs, the state Department that is in charge of that.

MR. HENNING: So does our -- do our people do the state inspection or not do the state inspection? I understand it's in conjunction and basically they have to report it to the DCA, but is it our --

MR. GENITEMPO: Our person is present, but the sign off and the inspection is completed and performed, to
my understanding, by the representative from the state who has to sign the certification.

MR. HENNING: So you're saying that the actual state comes in and performs the inspection, not our Town inspector? Is that what you're telling me?

MR. GENITEMPO: I said what I said and I can't - MR. HENNING: I'm just trying to clarify it because I'm a little confused as far as that goes.

MR. GENITEMPO: I'm not changing my answer because the question is the same. I'm --

MR. HENNING: It's not the same.

MR. GENITEMPO: I'm telling you, to the extent that I know, the DCA comes in and, with the assistance of our code enforcement, does the inspections on a five year basis. That's my understanding. I can find out the answer for you if you want me to?

MR. HENNING: Yes, Mr. Evans?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Neil, I'm sitting here trying to -- I understand what your question is. I'm not clear on what role specifically because you're asking a very direct question.

MR. HENNING: The next direct question will answer that question. Why does the state report that was done for the bike shop on the exact same day as the Town's not list the fire code violation that the Town listed on the
inspection, even though the inspection by both was done by the exact same inspector?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: I don't know the answer to that. The -- just so -- to make sure I understand specifically.

MR. GENITEMPO: Can I answer the question for you, if I may? I had a discussion with fire -- Deputy Fire Chief Paul Cafone, because I know you reached out to him also for the answer to this question. And I know that you spoke to him. He indicated to me, regarding his discretion in terms of allowing the inspection to go forward. I think I can get a more definitive answer to your question about why the same person may have signed on to both. I don't know the answer to that question. But I know that you did -- I think you would agree, you did speak to deputy chief Cafone about that, correct?

MR. HE NNING: I did.
MR. GENITEMPO: Thank you.
MR. HE NNING: I was not satisfied with his answer, however, which is why I brought it to the Commissioner who's in charge of that department. Is a Certificate of Occupancy required to be issued prior to the sale of a property?

MR. GENITEMPO: For the sale of a property, yes.
MR. HE NNING: And how was the Town able to do a closing on the bike shop building without a certificate of
occupancy?

MR. GENITEMPO: Because we were told that there was an inspection done. There were hardwired fire alarms in the building and that there was --

MR. HE NNING: Was there a Certificate of Occupancy - -

MR. GENITEMPO: Are you going to let me finish my answer or do you want to interrupt me?

MR. HE NNING: No, because I only have five minutes. I'm sorry, Mr. Genitempo, I'm not going to let you - -

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Mr. Henning, let Mr. Genitempo finish. You have five minutes. I give you leeway when we talk over those five minutes.

MR. GENITEMPO: Now, I lost my train of thought. I think my answer is that we did the inspections. We closed on the property. There was a temporary CO. I know you know the dates of that. And you know, this is the way it was done. We've already gone over this. I can't add anything more to what you've already asked me in the past.

MR. HE NNING: The closing was in June. The C ertificate of Occupancy was October. It was four months after the fact. So you did a closing without a Certificate of Occupancy, how is that possible when you just told me it's required?

MR. GENITEMPO: Because we know the inspections were done before the closing.

MR. HE NNING: It clearly says in code that it must be issued --

MR. GENITEMPO: Mr. Henning, I got it. I got you.
MR. HE NNING: -- not that it --

MR. GENITEMPO: I heard you and you're right. How many times can $I$ say you're right? Take yes for an answer.

MR. HE NNING: Well, who is being held accountable for it not being done properly?

MR. GENITEMPO: We're dealing with it.
MR. HE NNING: Who is being written up? Who is having that put in their file? What is being done to make sure that this doesn't happen again?

MR. GENITEMPO: We're going to oversight that. You know that. You spoke to Deputy Chief Cafone. I've spoken to Code Enforcement. I've spoken to you about it.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Alan?

MR. GENITEMPO: And I -- yes?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO:
I could comment on that actually. Last week, Neil, I actually sat down with the guy from the state, Vincent Lombardi. We met with myself and Paul Cafone to go over that file. And what he said to me was that the Deputy Chief has the right to give him extensions and so forth and so on and he doesn't see any
wrongdoing that was done.
I believe you called him. Did you call the state? MR. HE NNING: Yeah, I did.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: And he also told me that, that's why $I$ sat in on that meeting. We were trying to be proactive the week before and get our representative there. Unfortunately, he was on vacation. But I think it was good that someone that we haven't dealt with came and looked at what the Fire Department did and I sat in on that meeting again. And he said that the Deputy Chief does have the right to extend those things.

MR. HE NNING: He does, but not for 30 days -- not for three years.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: He said that the sounder was in place and that they're really looking for compliance and he didn't see any wrongdoing. That's from the state and I know that you have his number. You could call him just to verify.

MR. HE NNING: I've also contacted the state regarding this matter and that is not the answer that $I$ got from the state. And that is from the director of Division of Community Affairs. So you will hear from them more, I'm sure.

On April 27th of the year I submitted an
OPRA request for the full file of the Davis
property from Code Enforcement, on the very next day a construction application was filed for the property. Three business days later, a permit for a $\$ 4,000$ alteration was submitted. The following day, a fire inspection and electrical inspection was completed. The Town bought this property in June of 2016, had the previous owner put money in escrow for the abatement, and no effort to abate the fire code violation was done for almost a year until I opened the file.

If I hadn't opened the file, did the Town have any intention of abating these violations? And if so, when? COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Are you asking me?

MR. HENNING: I'm asking the Mayor, because that's where I'm told that the questions are supposed to be directed. So he can ask whoever.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Mr. Genitempo?

MR. HENNING: But I don't understand why everything gets deflected to Mr. Genitempo. He is not the elected official. I understand he's hired, but you guys are the ones that are in charge.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Mr. Genitempo?
MR. GENITEMPO: You and I had discussions about
this and I told you that $I$ was appreciative that you actually filed your OPRA request because it brought to our attention the fact that the final inspection
and that alarm had not been put in, and we did so immediately. And I told you that and I thanked you for filing your OPRA request because it spurred us to install the system.

I spoke to Paul Cafone as a result, and one of the reasons why they weren't enforcing it that strongly is because at the time of the closing, the basement had been emptied out. The violation was because the Fire Department, if they had to go into the basement --

MR. HENNING: That is not what the fire code violation was.

MR. GENITEMPO: All right. You know what? Mayor -- Mr. Mayor, I think that I can't answer the questions if I'm going to get interrupted. I mean, frankly, you and I have had this discussion at least two times. I know you just want to keep bringing it up, but that's the answer. I don't have anything else --

MR. HENNING: Mr. Genitempo, I'm trying to get facts --

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Please let him finish, Mr. Henning.

MR. HENNING: I'm trying to get the facts and that is not a fact. The fact is that it wasn't -- it had nothing to do -- the fire code violation had nothing to do with what was in the basement. It had to do with a fire suppression
central alarm system. That's what the fire code violation was.

MR. GENITEMPO: Because of what was in the basement. Please don't misrepresent that, Mr. Henning. You know that's what it was for. You spoke to the Chief. He told you what it was for. The underlying problem, what the C hief told me and told you.

MR. HENNING: This is it. This is it in writing. Do you want to read it? This is the fire code violation. Show me in this fire code violation, which is the written fire code violation that they give to the tenant where it says that you need to empty out the basement because there's stuff in it. It doesn't say that. It says, "Windowless basement, not exceeding 3,000 square feet in area shall be exempt from this automatic suppression requirement, provided a supervised automatic fire alarm system shall be installed in accordance with the New Jersey Uniform Construction Code."

MR. GENITEMPO: Correct. MR. HENNING: Where else in here does it say anything about that and that's the fire code violation. That is the code violation that it was and it was never abated until I OPRA'd it.

MR. GENITEMPO: You're correct. MR. HEMMING: Are you correct? Am I correct?

MR. GENITEMPO: Yeah. I told you that.
MR. HENNING: How do you get a CO with a fire code violation, even a temporary one, that has a 570 fire code violation when clearly in the fire code it says that is illegal?

MR. GENITEMPO: I've answered it. I'm not answering it again.

MR. HENNING: No, you haven't answered that one.
MR. GENITEMPO: I have answered it and you don't want to hear the answer, so --

MR. HENNING: What is the answer, because I guess I forget the answer because $I$ don't remember hearing that one?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: One more question, Mr. Henning.
MR. HENNING: Am I going to get an answer for that last one?

MR. GENITEMPO: I've already answered it.
MR. HENNING: In closing, I'd like to say that the Commissioners and legal counsel should be ashamed of yourselves for negotiating the safety of Nutley citizens and firefighters for a measly $\$ 4,000$ for close to three years. The cost was less than one day of the $\$ 5,000$ fine that this offense can carry. This was a total breakdown in our government by both Commissioners and our paid professionals, specifically in Code enforcement, the Fire Department, and

B uildings and Grounds. I highly recommend that the Commissioners evaluate all the procedures that failed and implement new ones to ensure that this can't happen again. That they provide the training necessary for our paid professionals to ensure that they know what the laws are that they are charged to enforce. And that the responsible parties be written up and it be put in their personal files.

Can the citizens of Nutley be assured that this will be done?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Thank you, Mr. Henning. Would anybody else like to address the Board of Commissioners this evening?

MR. HE NNING: Excuse me, Mayor, do I get an answer to that question?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Would anybody else like to address the Board of Commissioners this evening?

MR. HE NNING: Is that a no?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: That's a no.
MR. HE NNING: Thank you, Commissioner.
MR. AL ESSIO: Carmine Alessio, 81 East
Centre Street.
I'm coming here tonight to let you know that $I$ was in an accident. I actually was with my van in my driveway. I proceeded to back out. I've been plowing for like 25 years. I know how to back out of a driveway. That's what I
did. I was in the middle of the street. The street is only 30 feet. The van is 16 feet. There's room for parking on my side of the street. There's a $\$ 50,000$ car on the left of me, a $\$ 30,000$ car on the right of me, and I'm out in the middle of the street.

And I 've come here numerous times and I've
OPRA'd a traffic study of East Centre Street because it's a detriment. Okay?

So now I'm out in the street. My neighbor's empty lot is used for the park's parking as a municipal lot. There's cars coming in and out of there. Behind me, the park entrance, people just go right on the lawn. Me, I'm trying to be -- get out of my driveway, all right? Like I said, I was visible, out in the street. A lady comes flying down going east. Actually, she skins off my driver side bumper and hits my reflector trying to squeeze between the telephone pole, me, and the dog waste litter pole sign, because she didn't want to wait.

Okay, that's the kind of activity that goes on on East Centre Street. Now, here we go again. I get out of the van, pull it up a few feet, probably four feet to be exact. The splatter of my reflector is like 20 feet out, okay? I go into the house, tell my wife to dial 911. The lady left the scene. She's like all the way down the hill. She calls 911. The police finally come.

I just sat there because $I$ have daughters.
tried to be a gentleman. I didn't say bull-
which you may think is not easy to believe, but that's a fact. The police are there. Now, it's my turn. I tell the police officers, I said, you know, I'm out in the middle of the road. The lady is flying down the hill. I see her in my rearview mirror. Her passenger mirror hits -- just hits the reflector and she skins off my bumper and she takes off. When you make the police report, officers, do not put that $I$ hit the lady, because it's not -- look. See this? Could you assess the damage here? I go back -- I go to get the police report. It's completely different. The diagram and what $I$ said is not what $I$ said. And the diagram is not what the diagram is supposed to be. Okay? Completely different. Completely impossible because I have a parked car in my driveway on the right side. The way they made the diagram was impossible.

I mean, I don't think that's right or a nice thing to do to try to, like, maybe stop me from coming to these meetings and just being honest. I had private meetings with the Commissioner, with Mr. Evans that they're dumping next door to me. They're using the lot as a municipal parking lot. It's congested. It's dangerous. It's a detriment. OPRA'd different things.

That's my house. That's my castle. Okay? And
that's the way it is. Now, I got powerful lawyers now, okay? I'm not going to let this slide. That wasn't my doing of that accident. That was not my fault. Okay? It's a detriment there. And I did come here specifically trying to relay the message to you people and all I do is get people, like, egging me on worse and worse in my neighborhood.

Like , the lot is full of cars. They're coming for softball. The umpires are changing their clothes in the middle of the street. The entrance going into the park is closed. The bathrooms aren't open 100 percent of the time. They're dumping next door to me. It smells like rotten grass. It's a disaster. Who's stealing my plow truck? Who's eyeballing my property? Who's doing this and who's doing that?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Mr. Alessio, wrap it up.
MR. ALE SSIO: What's -- I'm going to wrap it up now. Like, what kind of training do these police officers have when an individual like me gives a statement, which I'm entitled to, and my statement is not what I said? Okay. It doesn't make sense to me. I mean - -

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Mr. Alessio, I'm sorry you were in an accident.

MR. ALE SSIO: Could someone answer that question?
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: I'm kind of lost a little bit. I don't know what the question is anymore. I know you
were backing up.
MR. ALE SSIO: Are the police officers certified to assess damage on a vehicle?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: I don't --
MR. ALE SSIO: Or if they're not there, how could they say -- and they do come to the --

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: So just to cut it short, is your issue with a police officer? I mean, do you -what's the question?

MR. ALE SSIO: I don't know. Maybe he doesn't -maybe he's on cloud 9. When I give a statement, I expect to have my statement on the police report.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: What I would do then --
MR. ALE SSIO: Not the opposite. Not the diagram not have the --

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Mr. Alessio, let the Commissioner answer your question.

MR. ALE SSIO: Well, he's asking me and I'm trying to reciprocate.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: He's trying to answer you now.
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: What I would say to you, Carm, is that the Chief of Police is in charge of day to day operations in Nutley. I do not step over that boundary line.

MR. ALE SSIO: I tried to go get an amendment --

MAYOR SCARPELLI:
Mr. Alessio, let the Commissioner finish, please.

MR. ALE SSIO: Yeah, but I -- he's telling me something I already know.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO:
All right. Then why are you asking the question then?

MR. ALE SSIO: I'm not. I'm addressing the Mayor of my accident and he's sorry that $I$ got in an accident.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: But it's not his department.

MR. ALE SSIO: But I'm just wondering or kind of like want to know why, when you give a statement, it's completely opposite of what --

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: But that's what he's saying, Carm. Call the Chief of Police tomorrow. Go over with your --

MR. ALE SSIO: I tried that route --
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Mr. Alessio, you had your time. Let the Commissioner finish. Let him answer your question. COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: I'm just saying. Did you go down and see the Chief and you still were not happy?

MR. ALE SSIO: I went to the police -- you know what, I hired powerful lawyers to handle this because I'm not like an idiot.

```
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: I don't know that --
```

MR. ALE SSIO: I'm not going to- it's like, if you give a s tatement to an officer and he puts something different. Hello? Why am I going to go back there and get myself in trouble or something and get all kind of -- it doesn't make sense. He made the statement. He didn't listen to me the first time. Am I some kind of an idiot or something? I'm going to go back and instigate it?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Mr. Alessio, the Commissioner is giving you an option. You said you hired an attorney. Leave it at that.

MR. ALE SSIO: Yeah, yeah. Thanks a lot Mr.
Evans for Code Enforcement --

MR. GENITEMPO: Mr. Mayor?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Yes, Mr. Genitempo?

MR. GENITEMPO: Any resident involved in an accident can file their own supplemental report. We have forms for that. So if they don't agree with what the police officer wrote, they can file their own report and file it with the Division of Motor Vehicles.

MR. ALE SSIO: I know all that. But the damage is done already.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Thank you, Mr. Alessio. Anybody else would like to address the Board of Commissioners?

MR. ALE SSIO: I just want to thank Mr. Evans for not doing the job with the Code Enforcement with the empty
lot by not building on that site plan when it first got sold and revitalization. They're using it as a municipal lot and it's --

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Thanks. Mr. Alessio, thank you. MR. ALE SSIO: -- a detriment. You don't care about that?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Thank you. Thank you. Would anybody else like to address the Board of Commissioners this evening? Seeing none, we're going to --

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Mayor, just before we go into there.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Sure.
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: You know, I've been sitting here and I'm thinking that I do owe Neil Henning an answer because I've worked very hard the last couple of weeks on this, and as an elected official, I think that you do deserve an answer from my department.

You know what, Neil, we -- I did go over that whole file and I know that I've had many discussions with you and do I think that it could have been done a little differently even though we had the state there and said that it was okay? We have -- I have looked at that process and made recommendations to our Deputy Chief to change some of that process so things like that don't last as long as that one did.

So I just wanted to let you know that. We did have talks about that. As far as any reprimanding, I can't go into that because that's personnel and then they would have to be here, right, counsel? Alan? We have to Rice them and all that stuff.

MR. GENITEMPO: Correct.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: So I just wanted to let you know from the Fire Department, there have been actions taken. Thank you.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: For the public, we're going to be interviewing a couple of planners. It's open to the public. We will then be coming back out into the main chambers. We may be taking action and we may be going into executive after that. So, gentlemen, to the back.
(End of audio)
MR. ABRAMSON: Thank you. I don't want to waste any time. I know this is a night of presentations before and after your hearing.

First of all, thank you so much for the opportunity to be here and to address your Commission. My name is Phil Abramson. This is Annie Hindenlang and that's Michael Martone. We're representatives of the firm Topology. We are based -- a New Jersey-based firm. Can we go to the next slide?

We're based in the Ironbound in Newark, New Jersey,
not too far from here. And so we started the firm about four years ago and it was really with an understanding and a recognition of an opportunity that there was a need for a firm under one roof to provide the services to municipalities to really drive their own redevelopment projects. And we've tailored our functions and roles, essentially, around that.

So what does that mean and what does that require? As you can see, you know, I sat through that meeting with PRISM and the presentation from PRISM and all these moving parts are -- it's an incredibly complex project. And one of the core competencies that developers are good at, and therefore $I$ think their municipal counterparts need to be good at, is project management and coordination to keep everything straight, to keep schedules straight, and to keep things moving on a good pace, and to make sure that you as a municipality are being good partners. Because you've heard of all the important things from PRISM that they're going to need and rely upon you to provide and to participate in.

Some of the other things that we've really focused on is working with public financing, leveraging and layering different grants, and private and public financing. Annie has been the planning director in Perth Amboy for the past five years and she's been able to get over $\$ 20$ million in grants. And in that time, that doesn't include, she has
me say -- doesn't include no-interest loans.
And I think some of the other things, in addition to design, in addition to sort of the regulatory things that a lot of planners have done historically, we also work with a lot of great subcontractors that do financial analysis to make sure that you're getting the best deal possible and we are working with a great design firm that we'll introduce after.

So if we could go to the next one. Another -- I think one of our core values and something I think we're pretty good at is working directly with communities. You heard a lot of things tonight from the developer that were things that they wanted to see and things that they were hoping to accomplish with this project. So the question that he presented back to you was, well, what does Nutley want to see? And what do your residents want to see?

And there's some very effective ways of doing that, to gather that information and to gather those opinions from residents. And that is something that we enjoy doing. It is something we try to have fun with. And we think it's an opportunity here, certainly, since this is such a sort of momentous project for you all. It's not every day that 120 acres in a municipality, millions of dollars of tax ratables are sort of thrust upon you to reinvent. So this is a critical moment for this municipality and I think you all
recognize that. So we're happy to be considered.
So I'm going to introduce Annie at this point.
And she's going to walk through some of the opportunities we see for these sites.

MS. HINDENLANG: Thank you. I think any planner or planning firm would be excited about the opportunity to repurpose and redevelop a 120 acre site that's had one really strong historical use and is now being reconsidered. But to be able to do that in a community like Nutley, and with all of the great things you already have going on and all of the great things you can take advantage of and immediately bleed into this site, that made it even more exciting for us and it kind of led us when we thought about some of the early recommendations we would make for you all.

Again, like we said, engagement is important to us and we want to find out what is your voice, and what is the community's voice, and help you lead this process and not just respond to this process.

And from all of the research we've done and from numerous site visits, including lots of restaurants and great places here, Nutley is a really idyllic and stable community and you have people that love this community, and they come and they stay. And you have beautiful parks and really interesting architecture in your single family homes. There's a lot of great stuff here that people that will be
moving and working on this site are going to love. But we have to find out how to create a plan that will make sure that those people come into your downtown.

And one of the first things we noticed is looking at the Town physically, there's this great natural landscape and grid that you can see with Basking Brook, and Third River and how those connect. While it is a former rail line, this -- it's kind of become an open space where every time that I was there, people were using it to walk around, to get downtown, or just sitting by the railroad tracks. So you have this natural opportunity to take advantage of, to kind of pull people from this large site into your downtown.

You have this existing redevelopment area which getting -- I'm sure you know, getting the parcel into redevelopment is an achievement in itself and then it opens up all of these opportunities for you for what you can do with that. And it's very close to your downtown, walkable distance. You have a beautiful park, Nichols Park, that connects it that has existing greenways and everything. And you can really take advantage of the existing street grid that's walkable and beautiful, then this natural grid, and then the proximity and all these resources.

And we looked a little bit at some of the demographics kind of going on in the state, as well as what's going on in Nutley. And how can you use those things
to kind of create that market and then take advantage of that market. And you know, I think a lot of people have had it beat into their head over and over again that millennials and baby boomers are returning to urban areas, they don't have children, they're looking for a different kind of lifestyle.

That trend is seen all over the country, as well as in the state. Interestingly, your number of households, about 700-- grew additional 700 households, which is a 7 percent increase over the total number of households, 5 percent of those did not have children. And that could be families just starting out, or it could be the millennial market, or it could be the boomer market. But these are people that are looking for a different kind of experience. And the increase -- so the increase you're probably seeing mostly in school-aged children is from people that have settled here and are staying here, which is great, because you have the best of both worlds here, which a lot of places don't. They either have that stable community that stays and no new, vice versa. So you have both and you have both of those resources to take advantage of with this project.

And so if you're looking at the opportunities for millennials and boomers, they're looking for walkability, livability, right-priced units with great amenities and quality finishes, and things to do and places to eat, which
you already have some of that. You're going to have a lot more people, so we're going to have to beef that up a little bit and figure out how the best way to take advantage of that, and we're going to have to get some guidance from your residents, as well as you on that. We have some ideas, which we'll get into. But you're in a great position. And you're in a great position to take control over this process.

The first thing that we wanted to -- and speaking of taking control over this process is this needs to be proactive. As you're taking on these -- the decision of choosing a planner to represent you, you're saying, okay, we want to make a plan, and we want to decide what happens here, and we want it to thoughtfully pull into our downtown. And that's the great first step. And I think that making sure that whatever happens here works into the fabric of the community will be really important.

I know there's a lot of discussions of this is going to be a campus and that it's going to be a place where people can live, eat, play and -- I think you want to look at it more as this is a part of Nutley and this is a part of Clifton and we want them to eat and play in Nutley and come into our downtown. And how best do we do that.

So right now, there's a lot of physical barriers that immediately tell you this is a campus and then this is
the municipality. It's going to be important to figure out how to best integrate that and make it more of an inviting environment where everyone feels part of it. One of the examples we looked at was the Cornell Tech campus which is on Roosevelt Island in Manhattan. And they are working on integrating this using an old defunct hospital, and they're using open space, and retail, and creating this network between the existing residents, and buildings, and apartments there to make it feel like one big community. And I think that's going to be an important part of whatever happens here is making sure that whatever is built is beautiful and attractive in and out.

Another thing, the fact that you have downtown within a walkable distance, that you have many opportunities to connect this redevelopment site and the downtown. You need to look at some economic drivers in your downtown to kind of pull people there. The Ciccolini site is a great opportunity for you all to recoup the investment you made there and create some new opportunities for things to do, places to eat, and maybe residential. However, you know, that's some feedback you need to give us. And kind of leverage the redevelopment here to empower local businesses to support them there.

There's many different ways this can look. This is just kind of a nice repurposed project in Portland. It's
a hotel. It's small scale and largely intense. But there's this and then there's another project union station in Denver where they discuss the community's living room. If you go there, it seems like everybody is coming together just to hang out in their large living room space. And it really creates that node in the downtown that pulls people in because people like to be around other people. They like to watch people, and talk, and so that's what I would kind of see Ciccolini being is that node where everybody wants to go and hang out and see other people.

Place making will be really important for this as we've discussed trying to make -- while they're absolutely beautiful parks, one of the opportunities we saw was kind of reconnecting people to Basking Brook itself. Right now, you know, most of it you can't get down to it and enjoy it, and maybe even splash around in it. So it's kind of removed and one of the things that we -- throw rocks into it. Well, so the idea is you can -- this is Mill River Park in Stanford, Connecticut. It's 14 acres, so it's larger. But again, you could easily connect some of your parks even further with the redevelopment that happens. But they have this space that was not really being utilized and there was a couple of offices and everything. And they decided to put a ton of money into reconnecting this community to this river. And they made it so it was accessible, and it was visual, and it
was attractive. And after that, a whole bunch of density, and residential, and it really invigorated Stanford's downtown.

Because we know that a large part of this is going to be that integration between this development and the community here, we actually are partnering with

S CAPE Landscape Architects. They're a great firm.
They're in our updated proposal if you want to take a look at some of the other work they've done. But they are winning awards left and right for their innovative stuff that they'd doing. I mean, maybe they could get it so you could splash around one day. You don't know. They do a lot of really great restoration projects to bring the community back to these natural resources.

And one of them that's been really successful and that they wanted us to highlight, because unfortunately they weren't able to come tonight, was the New York Presbyterian and Columbia University Medical Campus joint master plan. They wanted to find a way for these two campuses to encourage them to interact, as well as reintroduce them to the community around them. And they've had a lot of success at that. They call these their living rooms throughout the two campuses in the towns. And they've made it so that there are these spaces where people are encouraged and feel comfortable interacting. And it's really made a positive
impact and reintroduced these two schools to the community.
One thing that we, again, think is going to be important is keeping things accessible. From the project, improving those connections between the project and the downtown. That can take on many different options, like having an actual route that uses a rails to trails project along the former Newark line that's there. And or some more affordable options like a downtown circulator, this is in Dallas, that connects some of the universities to the downtown. It really just does a loop, but it helps with that -- if someone doesn't want to go through the park, if it's bad weather, it kind of gives it that last little bit that people might not be able to make. Or a bike sharing program which could be paid for by Seton Hall.

But these options make it more affordable and more accessible for any user to take advantage of the site and to get into the downtown. It might be a long walk for someone, but it's a very easy ride -- bike ride.

So overall, what we want to see is -- what we would like to see for you all is projects and uses that complement the existing fabric of the community, both the natural and the physical streets and the structures that exist, and the great architecture that's here. But something that's really integrated and connects with the community. And our proposal includes a lot of projects that
we've done. But we've done several master planning processes. We've overseen redevelopment projects from as small as a small infill project to a large scale residential project to huge 150-acre industrial parks. And we understand the complexity and the difficulty of making sure that your voice is communicated, that you take advantage of as many resources as possible, that you're coming out on top, and that someone is there to look out for you throughout that process. And that's the role we want to play. And that's it.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Commissioners?
MR. ABRAMSON : I have nothing to add.
MR. ABRAMSON : Do you have any questions?
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: I just want -- I do. I looked at that one screen where you had up there that it says that additional people come in to Nutley are not adding to the school burden. Where did you get that information from?

MS. HINDENLANG: So we looked at just the changes in the household and the percentages that were -- that it was -- which percentages had people under the age of 18. And so this could be people that are coming in that either are starting families once they get here. There has been a significant increase in school age children, over 2,000 percent. But it doesn't seem that that's coming from the
outside. It could be from people that are already here and that have been here for a long time and that are having children -- or they've been here for a long time and their children are having children and so on.

But it's -- the growth that's coming in is predominantly -- and that's what we've found from some census numbers. And again, census doesn't always catch all of the population, but the -- what we're seeing is that the new people coming in are predominantly singles.

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Does that include rentals? Apartments?

MR. ABRAMSON: It would include, yeah.
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Could you get us the document that you have that --

MS. HINDENLANG: Sure. Sure. And this is -- this kind of information is great insight. You know, there's a lot of stuff that --

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Yeah, it is. It is --
MR. HINDENLANG: No, not from us, you know, knowing that from you all, yeah.

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: No, no. I would really appreciate to see that in writing. That's astonishing to me. I don't doubt you, it's just that -- it's rather astonishing.

MS. HINDENLANG: Well, I can tell you, you know,
the community $I$ worked in for six years in Perth Amboy, the census says we have 51,000 people, but we know it's closer to 65.

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Okay.
MS. HINDENLANG: So there's definitely local conditions that can affect what happens on a site and that's the insight we will need from you. Like when I say we need your information to tell us, that's the stuff we will need as well.

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Okay. I appreciate that. It's good that you can get that to us. Thank you.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: And that census data is from when?

MS. HINDENLANG: That's from -- the most recent one was completed in 2010. So again, that could also be -MAYOR SCARPELLI: Is that with the updates or --

MS. HINDENLANG: No. This is from 2010 census data. And again, I'm sure that there's more than can be captured and we did see a significant increase in school age children, but I think that that again -- with the census, you need that local insight and that local feedback to know those things.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Other questions?
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: In terms of your
submissions, could you provide everybody with a little summary, some of your products are in here?

MR. ABRAMSON: Yeah. All of our portfolio projects are in there. They're both sort of policy planning projects as well as redevelopment projects from places like Morristown, which is where -- that was my Perth Amboy. It is still for me. Master plans in Newark and other places. So they're all in there.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Did your company do the Harrison--?

MS . HINDENLANG: No.
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: You didn't do it. You're right next to it?

MR. ABRAMSON: No. We look over the river at it.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: You did Speedwell Ave in Morristown, though, I saw.

MR. ABRAMSON: I did. Yeah.
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: What do you think that you instituted over there on Speedwell Avenue, that I'm very familiar with, would work in this Roche site?

MR. ABRAMSON: So first of all, now I'm curious how is this person familiar with Speedwell Avenue. But when we first came in to Speedwell Avenue, it was 2010. There was a turnover and the incumbent was -- the old Mayor,
so I came in with the new Mayor. And there was a lot of hurt feelings that we walked into with that old Mayor. And the community did not trust the municipality. And all of our engagement sessions for a long time were very high conflict.

So I think the first thing was just to continue to go back and to get it right and to find out what people's priorities were. That was the thing that unlocked -- that thing went round and round for 10 years like that. And that wasn't helping anybody. So that was a huge thing.

The other thing is that it was right after the recession. It was 2010. So getting projects financed, the agreements were negotiated and signed (indiscernible) which were somewhat different worlds. And the reality sunk in at that point that things weren't going to get as good as quickly as we may have thought.

So re-looking at the public financing structure, re-looking at the amount of infrastructure that that project had to bear in the first phase. So I just remember I kept saying we have to start somewhere. We have to start somewhere. So we moved it all back onto municipal property, the sites that we controlled. We went to the way back of the site because there was discussion that people wanted retail, obviously, on Speedwell Avenue. It's a retail corridor and nobody was going to finance retail at that
point in that location.
So we built the residential first. We tried to build a market. And in speaking with brokers, they gave us confidence that if we started to create enough residential there, then the retail -- we might not even need to redevelop along the avenue, which has been sort of my dream, is just to let it happen organically and let people reinvest organically.

So that -- and that's sort of happening. Now, some of the biggest problem sites we had to address and get our hands dirty, but I think it was -- again, to answer sort of your question, it was starting those conversations and finding out what the priorities were, and finding out what the real issues were that --

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Well, my main question is though, what do you think that is instituted on Speedwell Avenue would work on this Roche site? So what -- are we trying to attract the same people? Is it the millennials? Are they spending the money or you know? How do you do it? Is it really live, work, and play? You know, the question $I$ asked Gene Diaz about our house values because I am a little disappointed to hear about all this residential going there because I thought if you minimized that on the Roche site, that it would really intrigue our house values here in Nutley.

MR. ABRAMSON: Right. So these are complex questions that you're asking. So I don't mean to give -- whatever answers $I$ give are not going to really get at the point -- at the whole heart of the issue.

I was just out there today and $I$ was just talking to the developer about it. We were walking in the park that we were about to build. And the developer said to me, this is the great story, that we did 268 units and we have like 10 kids in there. So in terms of a fiscal boom, I mean, it's -- the properties are producing something like 30 or $\$ 40,000$ a year in taxes because part of it was municipal property. Now, they're producing over 600 in PILOT consistently. So that's a good deal.

In terms of the things that we did there that can be applicable here, building real streets that connect to the rest of the Town. Like not -- when they first wanted to do it, it was a campus. And they wanted to make it with its own roads, with its own courtyards, and everything was -the way the architect put it, we turned the project inside out. And we took all those courtyards and all that square footage and created a park. And just had the buildings sort of lining the park, but made it very public and connected right up to the avenue so it could support businesses and things like that.

So I think integration that when we're returning
these big, sort of areas that for one reason or another have been cut off from the rest of the municipality back into the municipality, we need to be really, really thoughtful about that.

So the thing that I'll say -- the alternative to what you're talking about. If we were to kind of starve this site of residential and hope that all the residential demand generated from this site will happen here. I think that's going to happen even with residential. Morristown's home values, to use that as an example are kind of
i naccessible for a lot of people, unfortunately.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Well, it depends where you are.

## MR. ABRAMSON: That's true. That's very

true. There's two Morristowns for sure. But in the places that are stable, and strong, and good places to live and good neighborhoods, they're as strong as they've ever been, those values. And I think honestly a lot of it's because there's been a diversity there and there's been a new sort of life invigorated into the downtown. You go around the rest of Morris County and it's dead, at night, it's dead. And then you come into Morristown and at 10 o'clock, 11 o'clock, there's people of all these different ages walking their dogs at 11 o'clock at night. I'm like what's going -it's so weird, especially when you just went through all
these dark roads to get there.
MS. HINDENLANG: If I could add one thing to that is the school being there and these headquarters and everything being there will create that draw for people, you know, millennials and boomers and everything, who want to be around those or who will be working there. We want to take advantage of what comes from there.

One thing that can happen is if your supply of housing doesn't keep up with that, people who own properties, whether they own them and they rent or whatever, they will feel the pressure to break single family homes down into smaller units to take advantage of those people who want to be there. Because you can make a lot more money with four or five rental units in a single family home than you can if you have -- you're renting out to one person. Or if the person -- say it's an older couple who's looking to sell, they could probably make a lot more money if they sell to a Jersey City or New York City developer who can convert it.

So while I do think it's important to figure out that balance and that's something we're really good at to understand what kind of density is appropriate and what kind of style is appropriate, you want to make sure your supply keeps up with that demand. You want to keep that in balance. You don't want to just push it in one direction or
the other. And I think that's why it needs to be really carefully considered, some residential that goes on there to capture those markets so that you don't see that coming in and eating up your existing great, strong residential areas. MAYOR SCARPELLI: Any other questions, Commissioners? Yes, Commissioner Tucci.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Just a couple of things that -- briefly that are important to me and I think it's going to be actually important to the entire Town. Number one, we absolutely need connectivity, all right? We don't need an isolated campus, all right, that's going to thrive on its own. We need to bring it into the Town.

We need compatibility with our downtown and with our residential areas. And we need a cohesiveness that I think is missing. And we have another development in Town for lack of a better word, Cambridge Heights, which is a wonderful development. Wonderful housing stock, rental units, condo units, single family homes, but it's not really connected and not really cohesive with the rest of the Town. And rather than have competing factors, I think what's most important is we need complimenting factors. And we need to address not only the folks my age that are here. We're not millennials or boomers. I'm not sure what we are, but we're a little beyond both. You too, steve.

And also attract our younger people, all right,
not only the ones that were born and raised here, but even the bright, intelligent ones that we want to come into Nutley to maintain this character.

Now, we understand that we're going to need to change somewhat. For my money, I'm not looking for drastic change, I'm looking for drastic improvement, all right, and how we tie this all together. Because $I$ think that's going to be the story of our success moving forward. And I think that's going to be the legacy of this Board and future Boards. So that's most important.

MR. ABRAMSON: One thing I'd like to say in response, listening to Gene Diaz, who is somebody I've sat across the table from in the past. He is a very talented and capable developer. He has been -- and you could see the energy that he's bringing to this process. Harnessing that energy is an incredible opportunity. He's in front of all of these different state agencies. He's in front of transit. Because $I$ saw it and my heart -- like Annie loved the brook. I loved the train tracks. And I wanted to make the direct connect, but she's like that's never going to happen.

But maybe with someone like Gene, who's working with the EDA, who's working with transit, who's sort of aligning all of these different stars because of the unique situation that this is and the amount that the state sort of
has to gain by Nutley's success right now, maybe it is possible to get things here that we're not typically able to get for municipalities. And I think that those pieces of infrastructure are -- they come with a high dollar figure. But those will be the things that do the connectivity, as well as, frankly the planning that Gene said. Like you threw away (indiscernible) keeping uses separate, but we have to make sure- you have to make sure that that's not just words and that's not just in name alone.

Because if you end up with that campus and there's an office and an apartment building and you know -- that's not what it means to be integrated with the community. That's just a campus that may be internally integrated, but I don't know if that's really the best we can do. I think you can do better.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: And Gene is a very bright, very intelligent, very insightful developer.

MR. ABRAMSON : Yes.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: All right, and that's a good thing for one side. But on our side, we need someone to do things from our perspective and to get a good feel for what we're about.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: I don't think I could say it any better, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Can I just add one thing
too? What do you think -- do you think it's really realistic that people are going to come out of that campus and integrate through the Township of Nutley, bring them down to our Franklin Avenue? Because I have to tell you, my concerns are $I$ think that we're going to be competing against that. You're going to have a brand new penny over there, but these older buildings on Franklin Avenue and older stores. I mean, do you really think that you guys can capture that? Because I have to tell you, I don't have -I'm not so sold on that at this point that people are going to leave that hot new amazing center and come down here.

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Let me just give you an example of what's going through my mind. I don't know. It depends on how we market what we have. And what we have is -- I mean, our restaurants. We have great restaurants in Town so -- including a deli. But I'm thinking --

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: We're just asking for one bite today (indiscernible).

COMMISSIONER ROGERS: But I'm thinking if -here's what $I$ envisioned. It's just -- it may be out of the mark, it may be part of it. But we've been around. We've been to Morristown. But what about something like a trolley car. Sort of like 1800 trolley car service to downtown Franklin Avenue? I mean, that's pretty unique. It's pretty neat. And you have these different restaurants, for
example. Who knows what we could put in there as we move forward. But that's what I'm thinking about. People love food and we've got it here. We've got rib places and all kinds of great restaurants.

But I'm thinking the way we market our part of the bargain here, in other words, the way you market Franklin Avenue. I think it could be -- I'm an optimist. I think it could be done.

COMMISSIONER EVANS: Just a point. And I sit here trying to think about one of the things you had said and trying to crystalize what's important to me, recognizing that somebody else is going to go right after you.

So when I think about Nutley and I think about a campus that in combined is over 100 acres, right? And it's already sort of given that next generation name On3. That type of thing. Which, eh, maybe not my generation. But --

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: I love it.
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: What generation are you again?

COMMISSIONER EVANS: I'm more like you. But I have -- you're a traditionalist. I'm still a boomer. I'm not sure you fit that, but that's right.

But the point is we -- and it relates to some of the comments you're hearing here. If we don't create a brand identity for -- at two levels. One, overall, what it
means to be -- to live in Nutley for one. And two, how do we brand the attractiveness of our business district, which has some real challenges?

You know, we've been advised by others that have looked at it over the years that it's too long, too narrow, and too short, right? Because it just -- it butts up -everything you want to do in the business district and it butts right up against the residential neighborhood and you have to be sensitive to the (indiscernible) requirements and everything else that goes there.

So that doesn't mean that that's fatal, it just means that that's actually a visioning and a planning challenge. But unless we can come up with something in a way that actually allows us to create that draw so that someone, as you said, is going to want to leave that campus because it's a really cool place to go to. Right? That's what -- for me, as I'm listening, I want to better understand how we go about doing that.

And then, to a degree, residents' input is important but also your ability to envision and create options and things that residents can evaluate, it becomes I think at the core very critical to that because many times, people will say $I$ don't want any more residential. The streets are too congested, right, without really understanding -- and I'm not suggesting that -- one way or the other --
without understanding what today's options really are because of the fact that they're not necessarily as well steeped as professionals would be in thinking about how you bring all that forth.

So what I'm sharing is anything that's being done, and we hear a lot about a plan for Hoffmann-LaRoche. But as you're hearing, and I think Commissioner Tucci's comments were dead on, right? The idea is anything that we're going to be doing there has to be evaluated in the context of how it actually influences a Town that has the rich history that we have, right?

We are a -- primarily a residential community. So there's a richness associated with that. We've always said that we want Nutley to be a place where it is safe and it's an environment which is productive for raising a family, right, and for actually creating a lifestyle that allows generations to want to be here, right?

So and we have a lot of border issues, too, because there are a lot of challenges that are around us. So we want to actually brighten the idea of the oasis, that Nutley truly is and can become. I agree with Commissioner Rogers' comment about how do we think about marketing and promoting as one element, but envisioning what really is part of that in helping this Board, as well as the community, better understand what's available under the art of the
possible will be critical, I think, to the success of the role of any planner here.

MS. HINDENLANG: Right. And to respond to your question, $I$ mean obviously we strongly feel that making that connectivity is something that can be done, and we can see many examples of where that has been done. And just as you're explaining like the art of the creativity, it's -- as we showed you precedence today, that's usually how we do our public engagement. We show them different options of what could be done and then we try to tailor it to what you all are and how to protect what's here. And I think that's kind of the story of Nutley that I noticed from everything I did is it's like you're great at preserving what you have and still growing. And that's a great balance to have. So how do you do that? And how do you communicate that with branding? And how do you get that common voice? That's going to be important to establish that vision and that common voice. That will be important for Franklin Avenue.

And the long corridor, that's not fatal. It's breaking it down into little pieces. Maybe it's three pieces that are oriented towards this and this, and they all have their own identities. So there's many options to do that there. But to make that connectivity happen is going to have different layers. And it's going to be some regulatory, where you maybe control how much retail they can
put on this site or you control what kind of retail goes on that site to try to encourage them to come into the --

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Well, the problem we have is that seems like it's going to be on the Clifton side. So we're not going to have much to say about that?

MS. HINDENLANG: Well, and I think that you should have something to say about that and you should try to get in those conversations and maybe that's us working -- or their planner working with the Clifton planner. But if the retail goes on that side and you get more of the residential and offices and everything, then you're closer to people walking to the downtown. You have more of that dense user population to take advantage of.

So that's not necessarily a bad thing, I would say. But making it physically attractive and accessible, either through ride share, or trolley, or through these really beautiful nice connections, that will draw people. There's a reason malls are dying everywhere. People don't want to be closed in a large building. They want to be out. They want to see things. They want to kind of wander. So I think that kind of old style of wanting to be stuck in a campus and spend your whole day in one place and go from that, I think that that's dying off. And the people that taking the place and moving into those roles want a downtown like Nutley and spend time. I mean, I came
here and spent time. I walked the streets and went to different restaurants and bars. And it's just a great environment to be in. And that alone is an attractor. And if you learn to sell that and brand it, then I think you'll be successful.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: I think what we want to do is to take that live, work, play concept and not have it apply to that campus, but have it apply to our Town.

MS. HINDENLANG: Yes, absolutely.
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Thank you for coming in. We appreciate your time.

MS. HINDENLANG: Yes, thank you.
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Thank you for the very nice pictures of my parks.

MS. HINDENLANG: Yes. I really enjoyed your parks.
(Pause)
MAYOR SCARPELLI:
I turn it over to you guys. DMR.

MR. SARLO: All set?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: We're set.
MR. SARLO: Okay. Thank you Mayor, Commissioners, counsel, thank you again for the opportunity to come back and reintroduce ourselves and to present to the other commissioners that we did not have the opportunity to speak
to last time we came in. My name is Charles Sarlo. My colleague, Fran Reiner. We're principals of DMR Architects. Functionally, I'm Vice-President and General Counsel of DMR Architects. Fran is the Senior Urban Designer and is R edevelopment Consultant to a number of municipalities. And I think what -- DMR Architects is a 25 -year-old firm. We celebrated our anniversary this past year. And it's a planning and architectural firm. And I think what separates DMR from other planning firms is the combination of the planning and the architecture, and I'll touch on that in a minute.

The planning function that Fran heads up clearly stands on its own in terms of the portfolio that's being created, the opportunities, the relationships that we have with the municipalities, the success stories in Hackensack, which has had a totally different objective. You know, you hear Fran talk about the thousands and thousands of residential units in downtown Hackensack that was created as a result of Fran's vision. But that had a certain objective to put people into -- back into the downtown area of Hackensack and success stories over in Paramus with the Valley Hospital moving from Ridgewood into Paramus.

So the planning stands on its own in terms of its qualifications and expertise. But again, the -- we think differentiates ourselves is the value added by having the
architectural practice in house. And what I mean by that is when you see a master plan or you see a graphic or something like this, or redevelopment plans and you see a lot of different boxes, a lot of times those boxes are just pretty pictures and the redevelopment plans can never be built as envisioned or as shown on a screen or on paper.

But when DMR and Fran puts boxes on the table, we know that those boxes can fit, and a building can function and operate because of the architectural interplay. We also have in house -- we have redevelopment experience. Lloyd Rosenberg, the CEO, redeveloper. I have redevelopment experience. I'm a land use attorney. I'm a real estate attorney. I represented zoning boards and planning boards in a number of municipalities. We know it. We get it. And so when you develop a redevelopment plan, in order to make sure it's not just a book that sits on a shelf and collects dust that you can never really develop off of, we think through a lot of the things that other planning firms may not be able to. We bring that value added expertise that we have in-house and share that with Fran.

And one example is the Pfister site in Ridgefield. It's a 19-acre site, old industrial facility that the Town wants to see redeveloped. And the redeveloper, the property owner who has not been designated as the redeveloper, but they want to be. So they came to
the plan with a draft redevelopment plan. They developed it and they had their master plan and the pretty pictures. And we sat down and -- before we could start talking about uses, and densities, and height, we looked at the development aspect of it. Similar to Hoffmann-La Roche it's on a highway that's on Route 46. But one differential is Route 46 is here and the site is here. It's bounded by is it Overpeck Creek or the Hackensack River, so a water body. It's bounded by a railroad track that had two roads leading to the site, but really only one rail crossing. That's not a public rail crossing, and an industrial building.

So we started asking questions. They had -- they were proposing, how many units, 650 units and a lot of retail and office. We started asking questions about accessibility, okay? This was an old industrial -- this was a former manufacturing plant. And we're saying, well, what about the -- have you talked to the DOT about ingress/egress? Why are we talking about whether you want 400 residential units or 600 residential units or how much square feet are retail? What -- look at the development constraints.

So you have this existing access off of Route 46 , that was for a manufactured facility. Have you gone to the DOT? Are they going to allow you to get a major access permit? They wanted to move the railroad crossing from the
one street to the next street. Well, that's great, but it was -- what was it, CSX. Have you talked to CSX? They don't give up their rights too easy. They don't move railroad crossings. And the existing railroad crossing was only for the industrial facility. Are they now going to allow and what is it going to cost you? Are you even going to be able to get it to allow 600 residential units? And if it's one per residential unit or husband and wife too, they're going to allow 1,200 cars crossing a railroad crossing that has to be improved?

So the whole point of that is you could look at -a redevelopment plan could be created. But really before you start that discussion, you really have to look at the constraints of the site and we do that in-house. We have that value added because of the architectural, the real estate knowledge, and the land use knowledge being developers. And we're able to feed that to Fran.

I think some of the dialogue earlier, obviously I think everybody recognizes that PRISM is a sophisticated developer. I don't think there's any dispute there. A very quality, sophisticated developer. So on the flip side, we think the municipality needs a sophisticated planner to protect their interests. So, you have to balance that out.

They're making a huge investment. They're going to want their return on their investment. And clearly they
say all the right things, but at the end of the day, they're going to want financial performance, okay, and they're going to have to make a return on their investment.

I'm not -- I have no doubt that they're going to be able to be very, very successful given that the property is located in the Town of Nutley, which I'm very familiar with.

And some of the, from the last time we were here, Commissioner Tucci brought it up, the Mayor brought it up, you brought it up last time, you brought it up again this time. And we heard the dialogue with the prior planning firm about the connectivity. I'm certainly not a planner, so I'm not going to -- I don't want to steal Fran's thunder, but when you hear that discussion. I live up in Washington Township, the Bergen County Washington Township and I actually ran for Mayor back in 2009, I guess. Governor Christie was on the ticket, so -- it was a Republican town.

But anyway, if you're up in that area, Seasons is the catering hall in Washington Township. And that intersection is a nightmare. But outside of Seasons, the one corner had three abandoned houses that was actually owned by -- that were owned by the owners of Seasons. On the other corner was an abandoned gas station. And on the other corner was basically an abandoned commercial building. And during the mayoral campaign, there was a lot
of discussion of what do we do? And my whole philosophy was, look, you have an asset. Seasons is an asset. It brings people into your Town, all right? It gives your Town exposure. Build around that asset. Don't replace a gas station with a gas station and an office building with an office building. Build around that asset, use that asset.

You know, people go into New York to shop for wedding gowns and they would like to go to two or three different places, right? Put complimentary retail around there. They're coming to Seasons anyway, into your Town to go visit Seasons to see if they want to have their wedding there or their anniversary there. So let them then go across the street for a wedding gown. Make it like a wedding district, photographers and things like that.

The whole point is it's an asset, use that asset. The parallel that I bring to Nutley is Hoffmann-La Roche, this site was an asset when it was operating because of the number of people that were there. But that's now a vacant, defunct site. And your asset is Franklin Avenue with the great restaurants. So marketing is good and all, but I think you've got to turn around the conversation and say we have the asset. How are you going to build around our asset? With that, I'd like to turn it over to Fran. MR. REINER: So my name is Francis Reiner. I'm a professional planner. I'm also a landscape architect. I
think that's important because my background is in physical design. So I spent the better part of 15 years working with developers on actually designing physical spaces, buildings, streets.

There's two types of planners that you come across. One is a policy planner and one is a physical planner. And then there are some that work well within both. And we feel confident that we work well within the physical design. So we understand how buildings get built, how they get designed, what makes good streets, what makes good public space.

This is going to be a really highly visible project. And there's going to be a great deal of people watching the process. And what I want to do as opposed to going through our qualifications was to go talk about specific statements that you made and that Gene made during his presentation and relate how we've implemented those things in some of the projects we've been involved in.

So as I mentioned, very highly visible. We've been involved -- and I took a lot of notes. So we've been involved with a number of highly visible projects, highly important projects. Just to go through a few of them. Cain University, we did the 2020 Master Plan for Cain University. Of that master plan, two buildings have been built that were identified in our master plan from that process.

As Charles mentioned, we're doing the Pfister site. In Hoboken, we are involved with the North End
$R$ edevelopment Plan. We're writing that plan, which is 16 city blocks. We've had public engagements already where we go out and have public meetings and workshops. In

Bayonne , we're doing a reexamination study and we're doing a ferry study. We've had online surveys for both of those projects. We've had public workshops. Evenings where we brought in -- 350 people came over to three meetings in different parts of the Town.

We set up a style of a public workshop that allows all participants to be involved with the process. And then each group, we basically bring people down into 8 or 10 people, each group gets to present their findings at the end of the night. So these are ways that you involve the public that they feel like they have a part of the process.

In Paramus, we rezoned the entire commercial district around [routes] 4 \& 17 to allow, among other things, a healthcare and hospital campus. In Woodcliff Lake, we conducted a saturday afternoon workshop where we had 150 people show up in order to rezone their broadway corridor, their downtown area.

We've done private development projects like SkyMark, which is a 55 acre site at 95 and 46. And then in Hackensack, which a lot people know us for, I think
there's a couple things that were mentioned. Commissioner Evans, you mentioned the sensitivity to generating revenue. So in 2010, when we started the rehabilitation plan for the City of Hackensack, it was adopted in 2012, there was zero dollars of revenue that had been -- private capital that had been invested in the downtown Hackensack for the past 30 years.

Since 2012, we have adopted 14 redevelopment plans. We've negotiated five PILOTs. We are negotiating another three PILOTs. Among them - we are the redevelopment consultant for the City of Hackensack - we're negotiating with PRISM Properties on a project in Hackensack at a train station. So we haven't worked directly with Gene, although he's been at some of the meetings, we've been working with Bob Fourniadis, who is with his group.

Of those projects, we have seven projects that are under construction. We have $\$ 300$ million of private investment that's been placed into the downtown Hackensack since 2012. And by 2018, with the other projects that are in process, we believe we're going to have over a billion dollars of investment.

If you've gone down Hackensack in the last couple of weeks, there are five active projects that are getting built in the downtown and on Main Street or just off of Main Street. So when I say that we're not just about writing a
policy, we're trying to figure out how to implement development, how to implement private capital into projects.

A couple of things that were also mentioned. EIT and grants. So in Hackensack, we have a $\$ 3.1$ million EIT grant to separate out the storm water sewer system. We implemented a public park, Atlantic Street Park. We got a $\$ 268,000$ grant from the county. We are now constructing a performing arts center, which was a $\$ 4$ million project that we bonded by the city.

So we have seen and implemented a great deal of what has been talked about for this particular site. I mentioned a rubber tire trolley in Hackensack. That's one of our initiatives. We want to do Wi-Fi in the downtown. We want to do rubber tire trolley and the developers to pay for it. And we want to do city bike. Those are all initiatives that we're trying to move forward in the next four years.

When we started this process in Hackensack, it was with the previous administration. So I have now been through two new administrations and five city managers. We're the only redevelopment consultant that involved the entire process. Of the 14 redevelopment plans, and this is probably from my standpoint the most important thing, we adopted a downtown rehabilitation plan for 163 acres in the downtown. We have drawn every single redevelopment plan
before any private developer was ever involved.
What happened is we put the plan together, real estate brokers started to assemble properties. Real estate brokers came to us and said, "We have these properties assembled". We drew the plans. We then took those plans and brought them to the development community. Those plans were then taken and requested to be an area in need of redevelopment, which we designated and did the redevelopment plan and then site plan approved and now under construction.

So that's important because there's a lot of talk about connectivity between the On3 campus and downtown about the competition between if you have a new Main Street On3 site versus the downtown. It is competition. It is critical that you consider what type of retail and most importantly, how much retail goes on any main street that's within the Hoffmann-LaRoche regardless of the amount of connectivity that you get from the campus to the downtown.

So you are in competition and it is important to understand how you deal with the amount and the type in order to maintain. And the goal here, $I$ think, for the redevelopment of that property is to spur economic redevelopment and private redevelopment into the downtown sites and in areas that need it. It doesn't necessarily have to be significant amount of residential like we're doing in Hackensack. It can be commercial. It can be
smaller residential. We have constructed 500 and -- 222 and 226-- 446 residential units in Hackensack that have been built and are occupied. We have 21 students, $K$ through 12, in those.

I am not promoting a massive amount of residential. What I'm saying is that there needs to be residential. The question becomes what type of residential? Can you do senior housing? Assisted living? And some moderate type of residential, either for sale or for rent. Those are the questions that are really critical to making sure that you're successful in not taking away from the existing housing and the downtown, both from a retail standpoint and from a residential standpoint.

The other thing -- just a couple of other things. We -- in Hackensack, we are -- we designated the downtown as a transit village for the city. That is a municipal process. That is not the developer going to New Jersey Transit and it's actually through New Jersey Department of Transportation, the city has to go through that process.

So we took the city through that process. It took almost a year. You have to meet with New Jersey Department of Transportation. They come with a steering committee of 12 to 15 people. We met with them three times. You submit an application. They respond. You then change the application so we get to the point where then they will
approve it. So we've done that for the City of Hackensack.

In terms of the buses, we're converting the Main Street and state Street downtown back to two-way. As part of that, we're redesigning the bus routes. So we are working with New Jersey Transit on a daily basis now that the project has been approved and awarded to a contractor to start the conversion. So we have been working over the past year, and now working almost daily, with New Jersey Transit on rerouting the buses through downtown Hackensack, changing where the bus stops are located.

So instead of it being Main and Atlantic, it's Atlantic at Main. So this process, again, we're very familiar with New Jersey Transit, with that group and understanding how they go through that process in itself. You can't just change bus routes. They require a six to eight month process where they have to actually go out and educate the public from the transit side. So this is -these are things that we've been involved with and I think -- and we know about.

I mean, $I$ think at the end of the day, what we bring to the table may be -- that we're most proud of is that we get things implemented. We didn't do a PowerPoint, but there's two -- I showed this to the Commissioners before the last time we met. This is a piece of property in downtown Hackensack. And this building here is now almost
complete as the performing arts center. We -- that's the result of the project that we got built.

So what we're showing you is actual things that we've gotten done. This is Atlantic Street Park. There's an outdoor performance area. It was a $\$ 700,000$ project. We had a $\$ 268,000$ grant. The City bonded $\$ 2.2$ million to build out a 224 seat performing arts center. So that's a project that is actually just completing this month, the interior portion of that.

As I said, we did 14 redevelopment plans in downtown Hackensack. And developers are -- developers -there are good developers. There are average developers. There are bad developers. And -- but most developers are just looking at the bottom line. How many years can I get? How little parking can I get? How much money can I make?

So we instituted in our redevelopment plan, design standards. So they -- the applicant developer came in with this as what they wanted to propose. And we went back and basically said that's not acceptable. And so we worked with their architect, and the result of that, regardless of whether or not you like this particular architectural style, the result of what we did by knowing architecture, by understanding how to physically design, is the difference between a building that would have gotten built that everybody would say is 106 units, has retail on the first
floor, and is a good, positive project for Hackensack versus what we believe is representative of the type of architecture that Hackensack wants.

Now, this is Hackensack. What Nutley -- there's a different style, a different type, a different architecture. But the point is that it's not enough just to have a planning firm represent you. You have to have individuals who understand the development process, the cost, where you can draw a line with the developer and say this has to happen versus where you know that you can't draw that line because it's financially infeasible.

So we think that we bring to the table an ability to really help you through this process. It's highly complex. As the previous firm said, this is not easy stuff. And we deal with it -- now I'm the redeveloper for Hackensack and we deal with it with 14 different projects in Hackensack every day with -- we're doing Main Street conversion. We're doing a rec center. We're doing a sports facility. We just did a performing arts center. So this is the type of complexity that you need to have on your side. And we think that we bring that.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Commissioners?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: I have one quick question because nobody is talking about this and I know it occurs because I've been involved with it. We've heard Gene Diaz
talk about PILOTS and the benefits of PILOTS and where it goes. What has your success rate been in community benefit dollars where developers and people that want to come in and do different things here are also enhancing the community: whether it's a park improvement, an infrastructure improvement, a police substation, or another health subarea? How have you done with that and how much have you taken in for a municipality?

MR. REINER: So we have -- like I said, we adopted five PILOTS with the redevelopment projects that we've done. And we're negotiating three more and there will be more on top of that.

When you are in the redevelopment process, it allows you to negotiate for things that the MLUL does not allow you to negotiate for. So if a developer comes in and you offer him a 30 year PILOT. In Hackensack, we put together a policy that basically says depending on the size of the project and the amount of capital investment, the city will consider a certain amount of years in terms of the PILOT.

We then have two third parties look and review the
PILOT . We have Ryan Nelson who's a redevelopment attorney and then we have either Phoenix Advisors or, now we're looking at Northwest Financial to review the PILOT terms. So some of the things that --

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Like Dennis Hemerick (ph)?
MR. REINER: Dennis is just -- they're just starting, so we haven't used them yet but they're just starting. All the ones we've used so far (indiscernible).

So a couple things that we've had built as a part of our projects that were negotiated in the PILOTS. In the one project, which is Lot $C$, it's in your book, it was municipal-owned property. We did a redevelopment plan. We have -- we're under contract with Hornrock \& Russo to develop a mixed-use project. As a part of that, they're building 200 public parking spaces and the revenues off of those public parking spaces are going to be shared with the municipality indefinitely, even though the developer is going to be building it, maintaining it, and operating it.

So the city is taking what is an empty lot that generates about $\$ 60,000$ of revenue off of parking and is going to build 200 public parking spaces back that will always be used for public parking, which will support the Ice House, which is a regional hockey rink and Foschinin Park during the summer.

We're working and negotiating with Joe Marino of M\&M Century 21 Construction on another municipal lot, which is lot $D$, which is in the middle of the downtown, where he would build in 131 parking spaces currently on a surface lot. He's going to build 131 parking spaces on a second level and
give it to the municipality and we will be negotiating that revenue for that from the city.

The other things that developers have done have -they have -- for the performing arts center, we raised over a half a million dollars of private funding towards that facility. We haven't negotiated things like construction of a park or a substation in Hackensack. What we have been working on is infrastructure improvements. So we require developers to do underground storm water when it wasn't necessarily required or mandated by the code, the city. We've mandated the parking, the public parking.

What I'll say is that the PILOTS are very difficult. The public does not understand that. We have -and we continue to have extensive public meetings where the redevelopment attorney and myself will engage the public in an open dialogue of what a PILOT is. We do have information on the city website about what PILOTS are, what the benefits are, what the misunderstood parts of PILOTS are.

And then we have meetings to discuss them. that is an educational process that you'll have to go through regardless. The other educational process you'll have to go through, which was previously mentioned, was the idea of school-aged children and the number of school-aged children based on the number of units. And there are -they were very accurate with their numbers. The
calculations are what they are.
The difference that we can maybe bring to the table a little bit different is that we can show you actual projects that have been built that we have been involved with that we know the school-aged kids. So that becomes more about -- not about philosophy or a report, it becomes about factual. Now, every town will tell you, because I've had everybody in every town tell me, yeah, but in my town, people move here because of the schools. So whatever information you have from Woodcliff Lake, or Hackensack, or Bayonne, is irrelevant because in my town, everybody moves here because of the -- so that's all part of the dialogue, all part of the conversation. You have to know those things going in.

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: So kind of a couple of questions that $I$ have is before you mentioned about drawing the line in the sand with the developer, you know, about how much retail and that's been kind of common from both parties. So what do you use as leverage, especially in this case where you're working with two different counties, two different municipalities? What kind of leverage do you do to minimize that?

MR. REINER: Yeah, so I'm going to answer the same way that was previously answered. You have to engage the other planner and you have to engage the other municipality
in that process. You will have limited ability to direct what happens outside of your boundary.

What happens within your boundary, it really has to do with the political will. It just does. We've been in municipalities where developers have come to the table and said I've got to have this. And the Mayor and council in those particular towns, either they say it enough, or they say it loud enough, or they say it where they fold and they accept. And then I've been in municipalities where some of the Mayor and councils will basically say I'm not accepting that.

And you'll be surprised how far a developer will move before he pushes his chair back and walks out. And that's a part of -- we're talking about a minor amount of actual square footage retail on that main street in comparison to the value of the rest of the 3.8 million square feet that's being proposed.

The $\quad y$ want a successful project. But you can't allow it to be at the cost of your downtown. And so the idea if we said -- if they said we want to build 100,000 square foot of retail on Main Street and you said, you know, we're only going to accept 50,000. The value of that 50,000 because it's not going to be -- they're not going to ask for 250,000 or 500,000 square foot retail. The value to them is so nominal compared to the 3.8 million. You've got to come
in and say this is what we need to support your 3.8 million square foot and the 8,000 jobs and the 2,000 students to support them. But we can't have it at the cost of our downtown.

So the rubber tire trolley is an excellent proposal. The connectivity along the railroad tracks to the small municipal lot, those are important. But what's most important is that you just don't allow that entire strip to be -- substitute what you have in the downtown.

Now, there's retail like on Route 3 that will never compete against the downtown retail. Your strip retail -- the people that go to that strip retail are not going to choose to go to that restaurant on a strip retail, like an Applebee's, versus a restaurant in your downtown. They're different users.

So what you have to be most concerned with is the type of retail that you allow, not just the number of retail that's allowed. Is that --

MAYOR SCARPELLI: I don't know if I speak for you, but what's being proposed is on the Clifton side, most of the retail. So we have limited ability to influence what's happening there.

MR. REINER: But again, if you look at some of the plans, and this is part of the dialogue that you have to have with Gene and with PRISM and to talk about, you know,
what is the type of retail that would be successful for them, that is car driven, Route 3 driven, retail that won't compete against your downtown versus if you -- if Gene came in and said I want to build an entire new Main Street from Route 3 all the way to the railroad tracks. And we want to line retail restaurants and retail all along that strip. That is -- I don't know how -- I'm not sure how long that strip would be. That would be far more impactful from a negative standpoint than if they went and built a strip retail center out on Route 3.

So there's a difference between the two and whether or not you compete with the downtown. So I think that's all part of the conversation that you have to have with Gene.

The good thing that you have here with PRISM is that you do have leverage, right? I mean, you're going to write a redevelopment plan that allows them to do certain things. Well, if you know that they're doing something in Clifton that they don't -- that you don't like or is going to be negatively impacted, then you can go to the table with Gene and say, listen, maybe instead of 3.8 million, we're only going to get you -- you're only going to get to 2 million square feet.

And it's not a threatening position because -- and I don't mean it to be like that because you have to be
cooperative. They are good developers. They are looking out for -- they don't want Nutley to fail. But sometimes developers trip over their own feet because they see the dollar signs or the value here and they don't see the negative impact that it has on your downtown.

So I think that's part of the conversation, part of the negotiation, part of the discussion. And I -- having negotiated with PRISM, not with Gene, but with PRISM, they want the success of -- and in Hackensack, they want the success of Hackensack. When they came to us, they wanted 220 units. We ended up at 140 .

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: PRISM?

MR. REINER: PRISM. We ended up at 140.
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: But you didn't deal with

Gene?

MR. REINER: Gene was at the table in some of the meetings, but the person that we've been negotiating with is Bob Fourniadis, who works under Gene. So Bob has been the guy that I've been in contact with throughout the process.

The point being is that they clearly and if you look at a lot of their projects, I think they clearly are the type of developer that wants to see success not just on their project, but they understand that your success in the downtown is part of their success and their success is part
of your success in the downtown.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Anything else?

MR. REINER: Thank you very much.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Thank you, fellows.

Mr. Genitempo, how long in the executive do you foresee?

MR. GENITEMPO: It depends on how much he has to say. I only have one other minor thing after -- I'm assuming you want to discuss this?

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Well, I was thinking if we go to executive, we can limit it and go from there.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: I just have one minor item. It might only take like three minutes.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: So could I have a motion to go into executive?

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: So moved.
MAYOR SCARPELLI: To discuss --

MR. GENITEMPO: We have contract negotiations.

MAYOR SCARPELLI: Madam Clerk?

MADAM CLERK: Yes. Whereas, Section 8
of the Open Public Meeting Act, Chapter 231, Public Law 1975 permits the exclusion of the public from a meeting in certain circumstances; and whereas the public body is of the opinion that such circumstances exist; and whereas the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, in the County of Essex, in the State of New Jersey desires to
proceed to closed executive session;
And now, therefore, be it resolved by the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, to move into closed executive session to discuss contract negotiations and litigation, you said.

MR. GENITEMPO: Yes.
MADAM CLERK: Be it further resolved that the time when such discussion may be disclosed to the public shall be when any special disclosures may be made without adversely affecting the Township of Nutley, pending and/or anticipated legal or personnel, contractual matters, and other matters within the exceptions provided for by statute.

Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?

COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: 10:24.
(End of audio)
MADAM CLERK: We're ready to go back on the
record, Mayor.
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Go back on the record at what time, Madam Clerk?

MADAM CLERK: Right now, it is 11:04.
MAYOR SCARPELLI: I have a resolution to -- for eneral Planning Services.

Whereas, the Township of Nutley has a continuing need to retain Professional Services of a Licensed Planner TOPOLOGY LLC., to provide professional planning services, primarily related to the ongoing redevelopment of the Hoffmann La Roche and the Ciccolini properties in the Township of Nutley; and

Whereas, this contract is awarded without competitive bidding as a "Professional Service" in accordance with N.J.S.A 19:44 A-20.4 or 20.5 of the Local Public Contracts Law because the contract is for a service performed by a person authorized by law to practice a recognized profession that is regulated by law; and

Whereas, after completing interviews of several potential candidates the Board of Commissioners has determined that TOPOLOGY LLC., located at 60 Union Street, Newark, New Jersey is best suited to provide these services to the Township of Nutley; and

Whereas, TOPOLOGY has completed and submitted a Business Entity Disclosure Certification which
certifies that they have not made any reportable disqualifying contributions to a political or candidate committee in the Township of Nutley in the previous one year, and that the contract will prohibit them from making any reportable contributions through the term of the contract; and

Whereas, funds are available from Account No. T-715-222-206 subject to the adoption of the 2017 Municipal Budget and will be certified by the Chief Financial Officer, and/or will be reimbursed by escrow accounts set up by each respective developer of the property; and

Whereas, Topology LLC., has submitted a proposal for professional planning services at a cost not to exceed \$50,000.00; and

Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Nutley, County of Essex, State of New Jersey does herby designate TOPOLOGY LLC., as the Planner and enter into an agreement/contract prepared by the Township Attorney, in an amount not to exceed $\$ 50,000$ to be evenly divided between the two properties for

P rofessional Planning Services.
I move the resolution.

COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Second.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.

MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
Motion to adjourn?
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Move it.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Rogers?
COMMISSIONER ROGERS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Tucci?
COMMISSIONER TUCCI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Evans?
COMMISSIONER EVANS: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Commissioner Petracco?
COMMISSIONER PETRACCO: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: Mayor Scarpelli?
MAYOR SCARPELLI: Aye.
MADAM CLERK: And the time is now 11:06.
(Meeting was adjourned at 11:06 P.M.)
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