

Thank you both.

On Tue, Nov 1, 2016 at 11:56 AM, John Driscoll <[jdriscoll@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:jdriscoll@cityofplacerville.org)> wrote:  
Cleve,  
The request may be in writing or oral and it may be in person or by phone.  
John

John Driscoll  
City Attorney  
City of Placerville  
[jdriscoll@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:jdriscoll@cityofplacerville.org)  
[530-642-5200](tel:530-642-5200)

On Tue, Nov 1, 2016 at 11:52 AM, Cleve Morris <[cmorris@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:cmorris@cityofplacerville.org)> wrote:  
I have the report.Â John, does the request need to be in writing?Â If not I will forward a copy to Regina.

Cleve

Cleve Morris  
City Manager  
City of Placerville  
[cmorris@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:cmorris@cityofplacerville.org)  
[www.cityofplacerville.org](http://www.cityofplacerville.org)  
[530-642-5200](tel:530-642-5200)

On Tue, Nov 1, 2016 at 11:45 AM, Regina O'Connell <[roconnell@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:roconnell@cityofplacerville.org)> wrote:  
Also, he requested this information via voicemail - sorry.Â

On Tue, Nov 1, 2016 at 11:42 AM, Regina O'Connell <[roconnell@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:roconnell@cityofplacerville.org)> wrote:  
Hi Cleve/John,Â

Do either of you have this information?

Thanks,  
Regina

Good Afternoon Sue,

You're very welcome, and thank you for preparing the list of suggestions the Committee received last Thursday. This is very helpful. I have a few comments. In regards to item #9, I believe Kirk Smith mentioned Sheriff vehicles as well. Also, Committee Member Cumpston suggested that bike parking (racks) be installed in the Downtown area. Thank you.

Dave  
Dave Warren  
Director of Finance  
City of Placerville  
3101 Center Street  
Placerville, CA 95667  
P. 530.642.5556  
F. 530.642.5255

**From:** Pierre Rivas <[privas@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:privas@cityofplacerville.org)>  
**To:** Peter Wolfe <[pwolfe615@gmail.com](mailto:pwolfe615@gmail.com)>; GeorgeW Lowry <[george@drydiggins.com](mailto:george@drydiggins.com)>; Michael Frenn <[hazebrewer@yahoo.com](mailto:hazebrewer@yahoo.com)>; [jqlist@comcast.net](mailto:jqlist@comcast.net); [d\\_l\\_robey@msn.com](mailto:d_l_robey@msn.com)  
**Cc:** Andrew Painter <[apainter@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:apainter@cityofplacerville.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 18, 2016 5:05 PM  
**Subject:** Item 4: ZC 15-16 - Keeping of Hen Chickens

Dear Commissioners:

Please see comments received from Karen Rea dated July 11, 2016 and from Kirk Smith dated July 15, 2016 for your consideration.

Hard copies of these comments will be provided to you at the meeting this Tuesday night.

Pierre

--  
Pierre Rivas, Director  
Development Services Department  
City of Placerville  
[\(530\) 642-5569](tel:5306425569)  
[privas@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:privas@cityofplacerville.org)

I spoke to Mr. Spear and had a long yet good conversation. He is very politically aligned in Sacramento and fully understands government.

One interesting thing is he made sure to let me know that Measure L needs a lot better marketing as many people think the money will go into the general fund and they don't trust the language or the city.

He knows that the tax dollar are spent on what he calls special interest projects like down town as the rest of the city falls apart. Â Â

Rick

Rick Ferreira  
Public Works Operations Manager  
City of Placerville  
Cell: 530 417-4700  
549 Main Street  
Placerville, CA 95667  
[rferriera@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:rferriera@cityofplacerville.org)  
[www.cityofplacerville.org](http://www.cityofplacerville.org)

On Mon, Oct 31, 2016 at 7:46 AM, Aris Chambers <[achambers@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:achambers@cityofplacerville.org)> wrote:  
This email was sent Sunday night at 6:56pm.Â I would like some direction as to how to respond to this email.Â Please see below.

Thank you,

Aris Chambers  
Administrative Secretary  
Community Services  
City of Placerville  
(530) 642-5294  
[achambers@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:achambers@cityofplacerville.org)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jennifer Chapman** <[jenchapman415@gmail.com](mailto:jenchapman415@gmail.com)> Hi All,

This is a follow up to the discussion at last night's City Council meeting about distributing aÂ Public NoticeÂ regarding theÂ Measure H fundedÂ Â Pardi Way/Big Cut Road/Sacramento Street/Chamberlain Street/Gilmore Street Waterline Replacement Project.Â Â In addition to the planned Measure L flyer, we have room forÂ the Measure H/waterline Public Notice in the 09/10/16 utility bill mailerÂ if necessary.

Pierre/Rebecca, just so you know, Councilmember Thomas and Cleve spoke briefly

after the Council meeting about possibly combining the two documents into one insert. Either way, we will need 3,600 copies of the insert(s) on 8.5" x 11 20 lb. paper on before 09/07/16 in order to distribute them with the 09/10/16 utility bills.

Please let me know if there is anything else Finance can do to help with this effort. Thank you.

Â Â

Dave

Â

Dave Warren  
Director of Finance  
City of Placerville  
3101 Center Street  
Placerville, CA 95667  
P. 530.642.5556  
F. 530.642.5255

Date: Thu, Nov 10, 2016 at 7:57 AM

Subject: Letter in opposition to approving extension for Cottonwood 4 & 6

To: [pv.planning@gmail.com](mailto:pv.planning@gmail.com), Cleve Morris <[cmorris@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:cmorris@cityofplacerville.org)>, Mark <[markacuna1@mindspring.com](mailto:markacuna1@mindspring.com)>, Rebecca Neves <[rneves@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:rneves@cityofplacerville.org)>

Good morning,

A copy of the letter attached with original signatures will be delivered to city offices today. The Cottonwood subdivision environmental planning analysis needs to be revisited. Approvals should not be extended again for Cottonwood 4 & 6. This project needs to take a fresh look at current conditions and have some re-design.

On a positive note, it is great news that Measure L passed. Â

Best regards,Â

--

Jennifer Chapman  
2701 Clay Street  
Placerville, CA Â 95667  
[415-419-4846](tel:415-419-4846)

Hi Cleve,

If you're interested, I could prepare a Public notice summarizing Measure L similar to the one attached for Measure H

Dave

Dave Warren  
Director of Finance  
City of Placerville  
3101 Center Street  
Placerville, CA 95667  
P. 530.642.5556  
F. 530.642.5255

Hi Nancy,

**Katy Webster, PE**  
Associate Civil Engineer  
City of Placerville  
Phone: (530) 642-5557  
[kwebster@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:kwebster@cityofplacerville.org)

On Tue, Dec 6, 2016 at 4:33 PM, Nancy Loevinger <[nloevinger@imsinfo.com](mailto:nloevinger@imsinfo.com)> wrote:

Hi Katy,

Â

Are any RFPs expected to issue now that Measure L has passed for specific projects, or the design, engineering, inspection, and possibly CM will be incorporated into the on call prequalified lists? Good morning,

A copy of the letter attached with original signatures will be delivered to city offices today. The Cottonwood subdivision environmental planning analysis needs to be revisited. Approvals should not be extended again for Cottonwood 4 & 6. This project needs to take a fresh look at current conditions and have some re-design.

On a positive note, it is great news that Measure L passed. Â

Best regards,Â

--

Jennifer Chapman  
2701 Clay Street  
Placerville, CA Â 95667  
[415-419-4846](tel:415-419-4846)

Â

Thanks, Nancy Loevinger

Â



Nancy Loevinger  
Regional Manager  
945 Hornblend Street, Suite G  
San Diego, CA 92109  
(858) 490-5013 (direct)  
(888) 467-3151 (toll free)  
(858) 490-8811 (fax)

[ca1@imsinfo.com](mailto:ca1@imsinfo.com)

[nloevinger@imsinfo.com](mailto:nloevinger@imsinfo.com)

[www.imsinfo.com](http://www.imsinfo.com)

From: **Rebecca Neves** <[rneves@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:rneves@cityofplacerville.org)>  
Date: Mon, Jul 25, 2016 at 4:54 PM  
Subject: Leak Survey Summary - Engineering Comments with PW  
To: Cleve Morris <[cmorris@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:cmorris@cityofplacerville.org)>  
Cc: Pierre Rivas <[privas@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:privas@cityofplacerville.org)>, Dave Warren <[dwarren@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:dwarren@cityofplacerville.org)>, Rick Ferriera <[rferriera@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:rferriera@cityofplacerville.org)>, Steve Youel <[syouel@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:syouel@cityofplacerville.org)>, Katy Webster <[kwebster@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:kwebster@cityofplacerville.org)>

Hi Cleve,

As promised and discussed last week, please see the attached pdf of my comments on the Leak Survey. On page 14 of the pdf, JCI listed various locations where leaks were found within the portion of the system assessed. As discussed at our recent meeting with JCI, Rick and I did meet and review the locations of the leaks against problematic areas within the system and current CIP projects.

Below is a summary of recommended approach to the locations identified within the study as reviewed by Engineering:

- **3095 Washington:** Leak Repair needed at main, suggest either making it a CIP or addressing as spot repair with through Public Works.
- **541 564 and 594 Main Street:** This main is in the vicinity of the Clay Street Bridge replacement project and could be incorporated as part of that project construction efforts. **Katy - please review and discuss with DHA.**
- **1490 Broadway:** This location is within the project area of the Upper Broadway Bike Lanes project and could be incorporated as part of the construction. **Katy - please review and discuss with REY.**
- **232 Main Street:** This is at Mel's Diner. Further investigation and utility potholing is needed to determine if this is a faulty service or truly an issue at the water main.
- **120 Main Street:** This is located at the shoe repair business on Lower Main.
- **909 Hidden Way/919 Bartlett Ave/3090 Goldner:** These locations are all relatively close to one another and PW has been aware of a leak in that area for some time. A couple months back, PW attempted to repair the leak at Goldner and Bartlett, but due to broken/incapacitated water valves throughout the water network in that area (south of Pacific Street), they were unable to shut the water off to repair the leaks. The water system within this vicinity is one of the oldest in the City and it's important to understand that without functioning valves in this area as it is today, we have virtually no control over the system without a major shut down (300+ customers) of water in the event of a main break. With that in mind, there are a couple of options:
  1. Do a valve replacement CIP for this area, which will allow PW to isolate system leaks and repair immediately, thus addressing revenue loss quickly. We successfully installed inserta valves on Broadway as part of the Blairs Bridge construction when we ran into a similar scenario with no control of the system in that area.
  2. Create this as a water main, service, and valve replacement CIP and add it to the Prop 1 grant application. As Bryan mentioned in our meeting, we have not yet reached the limit of funds request through the application process and this may be a worthy CIP to add to that effort with the added support of its identification through the Leak Survey.
- **927 Oak Terrace/969 Oak Terrace/745 Quartz Mountain Drive:** These leaks occur in the same vicinity and have been known for some time now. Similar to above, we have a couple options:
  1. PW could repair the leaks and an efficient approach may be having PW excavate to the leak sites and then have Wunschel or another contractor provide materials and replace sticks of pipe and valves. Since a fair amount of these repairs would

be best handled as night work to minimize impact to customers, sub'ing out the valve and pipe replacement would allow PW crews to still be available during the day at the next shift rather than off catching up on sleep. Just a suggestion.

2. Create this as a water main, service, and valve replacement CIP and add it to the Prop 1 grant application with the added support of its identification through the Leak Survey.

Another CIP that was discussed for consideration to include in the Prop 1 grant app was the Canal Street waterline replacement. We have not previously identified this project as a CIP, but it's a line that repeatedly causes frequent maintenance and repairs by Public Works and it's now risen to the level of needing attention. The water main in the vicinity of Simas Way (949 Simas Way) is in need of replacement and it would be best to get this addressed when school is not in session. Depending upon the efficiency and level of effort we want to give towards the overwhelming deficiencies in the system, we may just want to put this on our radar, but not too far down the list. The reality is that the system is in a degraded state (as we all know) and if we're searching for other projects to throw into the Prop 1 hat or evaluate for leaks, it might be best to have someone like Mike Thompson with a larger history of the system to sit with the maps for a day and mark up the areas the crews know to contain leaks and stop revenue loss at those locations. We can always find projects. :)

As we discussed, this email is to allow you a chance to chime in on how to proceed with the strategy on the Prop 1 grant. If you would like us to do the estimate and CIP scoping for the additional projects described above, please let me know. I believe Bryan is trying to set a follow up meeting for next week and we need to give Engineering and REY some time to prepare the support items to the grant. Otherwise we will revisit these again once Measure L (hopefully) passes and funding accumulates (estimated July 2017).

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks!

Rebecca Neves, P.E., QSD/P

City Engineer

City of Placerville

3101 Center Street

Placerville, CA 95667

Ph: [530.642.5250](tel:530.642.5250)

Fax: [530.642.5568](tel:530.642.5568)

Email: [rneves@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:rneves@cityofplacerville.org)

From: **John Clerici** <[jlfclerici@gmail.com](mailto:jlfclerici@gmail.com)>

Date: Mon, Nov 14, 2016 at 9:28 AM

Subject: Re: Measure L/H Next Steps

To: Cleve Morris <[cmorris@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:cmorris@cityofplacerville.org)>

Cc: Dave Warren <[dwarren@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:dwarren@cityofplacerville.org)>, Rebecca Neves <[rneves@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:rneves@cityofplacerville.org)>

That would be great. Just having dates set aside would be a good first start. Then after the holidays we can start to dig into the numbers we need to put together to effectively engage the community.

Thanks.

John

On Mon, Nov 14, 2016 at 9:24 AM, Cleve Morris <[cmorris@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:cmorris@cityofplacerville.org)> wrote:  
Thank you John. I appreciate it. Let me look at the calendar and put together some preliminary information then we can look at scheduling some dates. I will try go do that this week.

Cleve

Cleve Morris  
City Manager  
City of Placerville  
[cmorris@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:cmorris@cityofplacerville.org)  
[www.cityofplacerville.org](http://www.cityofplacerville.org)  
[530-642-5200](tel:530-642-5200)

On Mon, Nov 14, 2016 at 9:19 AM, John Clerici <[jlfclerici@gmail.com](mailto:jlfclerici@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Good Moring Cleve

Thanks for the response. I appreciate the time constraints on staff and certainly want to maintain the space they need to do the great work we have become accustomed to. I would like to perhaps set out a rough timeline of when engagement could be reasonably expected, so that we can create the needed space to identify the dates and times for the engagement (and a rough idea of what we would discuss) so that we can get them scheduled. I have seen just finding times when Town Hall is available provide issues for making community meetings happen. If we could identify those dates in the near term, we can set them aside, and then see if staff time allows us to meet those dates. I would like to be able to communicate with the public relatively soon, that we plan to have our first meeting on February X, the next one on April X, (or March X and May X) or something like that, so that they can set the time aside, and we have targets to work towards. I know that the simplest public meeting is a lot of work for staff, but I don't anticipate these to be overly complicated affairs. In fact simple concepts around project cost, funding, delivery, and how to mix and match these to set a path forward, would be best.Â

Thanks and have a great day.

John

On Mon, Nov 14, 2016 at 8:28 AM, Cleve Morris <[cmorris@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:cmorris@cityofplacerville.org)> wrote:  
John: Â Sorry I took so long to respond to you.Â I had some discussions with Dave and Rebecca regarding Â the process going forward.Â We don't disagree with your outline of how to proceed with maybe some minor modifications.Â Probably the biggest issue right now is timing.Â As you know Rebecca has three major projects she is managing right now, WPI, Blairs Lane and Pardi/BigCut.Â All of these are consuming a lot of her time.Â She also has some work she needs to do for Pierre on the Housing Element Rezones which is critical and she is working with me on the Johnson Controls Project.Â So, I need to free her up on some of these projects in order to get some time to devote the the new CIP related to Measure L.Â I believe Blairs Lane Bridge and WPI should be finishing up in early to mid December, depending on weather.Â My plan is to do some preliminary work with Dave Warren and Rebecca between now and January 1 and be prepared to hit it hard after that.Â This should give us ample time to gear up and be ready for public meetings in the spring and have a solid game plan prior to the first funding coming in July.Â Let me know if you have questions or want to discuss this further.

Thanks, Cleve

Cleve Morris

City Manager  
City of Placerville  
[cmorris@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:cmorris@cityofplacerville.org)  
[www.cityofplacerville.org](http://www.cityofplacerville.org)  
[530-642-5200](tel:530-642-5200)

On Wed, Nov 9, 2016 at 11:27 AM, John Clerici <[jlfclerici@gmail.com](mailto:jlfclerici@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Good Morning Everyone

As I mentioned last night, very soon I would like to begin daylighting measure L to the community and set up a path forward to implementation. I see that starting off with one, perhaps more, meetings with staff to begin fashioning a series of public forums with the community to lay out the ways in which measure L can be implemented. This is something I put together for a FB post I made that outlines my thoughts on a way to proceed.Â

First point: The tax will be collected starting April 2017.

Second point: I think the first chunk of money comes sometime mid-year. That has to do with the state collecting the money and then getting around to giving it to us.

Third point: The Measure H Committee is tasked with continuing their oversight roll for Measure L. They already have a good handle on the priority sewer and water projects. They would then, pretty quickly start looking at the priority road projects in town.

Fourth point. The main street project is a good example of fixing things from the bottom up. So we did water and sewer improvements first, then paved the road. This hopefully will minimize, or eliminate the need to tear up all the nice pavement to fix something that we should have fixed in the first place. I would like to apply that same principle as we move forward with measure L money.

Fifth point. There are road projects, that are desperately needed, that are going to cost a lot of money. And may require either phasing, or collection of multiple years of money to get done. Placerville Drive would be an example of that. So there is going to be the challenge of doing many small projects around town that can be done quickly, or do one big project that may not be done right away. Or some combination of those things.

With all this in mind I see a series of public meetings starting early in 2017, before we have the money, which will lay out the following:

• Re-familiarizing the public with our prioritized list of needed sewer, water, and road system repairs

• Attaching an approximate cost to each project “ within this I would like to provide the public with some knowledge of how large and medium sized capital projects are delivered

• Show what we anticipate for cash flow from measure L and the existing money from measure H (and other road, sewer and water capital funds including anticipated grant funding)

• Then provide scenarios on how the money could be applied in the short and long term to the projects from the prioritized lists

• Engage the public on what their priorities would be (like to have and need to have)

• Remix the scenarios to meet the publics desires as much as practicable

• Get community buy in

The goal would be that at some point in 2017 we can start putting projects out to bid, and begin working on them. I would be in favor of having an annual community meeting to show how measure L money was spent in the previous year, and what we have planned for the next year.

This concept met with a measure of support when presented. To expand on one point that was not explicitly put out there. I think we need to show the community a couple of funding options...using cash flow, bonding, etc.

Well that's it. Let me know what you think, and how soon we can start to meet on this. I would like to include Wendy Thomas in this as well.

Thanks.

John

From: **Mark** <[markacuna1@mindspring.com](mailto:markacuna1@mindspring.com)>  
Date: Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 10:02 AM  
Subject: FW: Patch Paving Contract  
To: steve youel <[syouel@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:syouel@cityofplacerville.org)>  
Cc: Cleve Morris <[cmorris@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:cmorris@cityofplacerville.org)>

Hello Steve:

Attached is the paving agreement EID uses for patch paving. We discussed this about a month ago? Tom Cumpston was nice enough to provide the latest agreement.

Cleve, this is something I have wanted to get for Public Works for a long time. On those rare streets that are actually in good condition we should be installing permanent pavement repairs after we have address a sewer or water system issue. Our current cold patch procedure creates new water intrusion points and accelerated pavement failure.

Would it be possible to create an annual Patch Paving Contract and budget line item? With Measure L about to be approved, perhaps we can prepare now to include this program in the 2017 budget?

Thank you both,

Mark

Hi Pierre,

Please see the attached spreadsheet with the hours spent by myself and Katy on tasks that have outside funding sources besides General Fund (i.e. development review fees, CIP projects with fed, state, or Measure H funding, etc.)

There is a summary table at the bottom. This spreadsheet looked at the current fiscal year through pay period 9, which represents 35% of the total business hours within the fiscal year. Prorating that amount, hours spent on tasks with outside funding sources have taken up 74% of our total hours, meaning mine and Katy's salaries are both 74% fully billable outside of the General Fund. The remaining 26% is tied up in Engineering general/admin, DSD general/admin, and training.

With keeping all that in mind, it's also time to consider the incredible backlog of development/plan reviews that the Engineering Division is not keeping up with, which

are additional billable hours. That coupled with the kick off of Measure L projects starting next month,

Rebecca Neves, P.E., QSD/P  
City Engineer  
City of Placerville  
3101 Center Street  
Placerville, CA 95667  
Ph: 530.642.5250  
Fax: 530.642.5568  
Email: [rneves@cityofplacerville.org](mailto:rneves@cityofplacerville.org)

Hi,

Just to confirm that you were correct in that the Resolution on tonight's agenda is sufficient. The request to consolidate is for all election services, regardless of how many offices or measures are put on the City ballot. If there were proponents of a referendum out there, we would not necessarily know that when we submit our Resolution to the County. Linda Webster confirmed that since they already know about Measure L, as they've already received it, it is unnecessary to add that to tonight's resolution.

Have fun this evening. Wish I could be there (not!).

Susan